arXiv:1701.04541v1 [math.NT] 17 Jan 2017

Fox-Neuwirth-Fuks cells, quantum shuffle algebras, and Malle's conjecture for function fields

Jordan S. Ellenberg, TriThang Tran and Craig Westerland

January 18, 2017

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to prove the upper bound in Malle's conjecture on the distribution of finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with specified Galois group. As in [EVW16], our result is based upon computations of the homology of braid groups with certain (exponential) coefficients. However, the approach in this paper is new, relying on a connection between the cohomology of Hurwitz spaces and the cohomology of quantum shuffle algebras.

1 Introduction

The fundamental objects of algebraic number theory are global fields, which fall into two types: number fields (finite algebraic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$) and function fields (finite algebraic extensions of the field of rational functions $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ over some finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$). If K is a global field and L/K a finite extension of L of degree m, the two basic invariants of L/K are the *Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, a transitive subgroup of S_m, and the *discriminant* $\Delta_{L/K}$, a real number measuring the extent to which L/K is ramified. An old result of Hermite guarantees that the number of isomorphism classes of degree-m extensions of K with Galois group G with $|\Delta_{L/K}| < X$ is finite; it is thus natural to ask how *many* isomorphism classes of such extensions there are. We denote this number by $N_G(K, X)$.

In 2002, Malle organized much of the previous work on this problem into a single governing conjecture. If g is an element of S_m, we define the *index* of g to be

$$\mathrm{ind}(g) = m - \#(\{1, \dots, m\}/\langle g \rangle).$$

Then define $a(G)$ by letting its inverse $a(G)^{-1}$ be the minimum value taken by the indices $\mathrm{ind}(g)$, as g ranges over $G \setminus \{1\}$.

Conjecture 1.1 ([Mal02])**.** Let K be a number field. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1(K, G)$ and $c_2(K, G, \epsilon)$ such that

$$c_1(K, G)X^{a(G)} \leq N_G(K, X) \leq c_2(K, G, \epsilon)X^{a(G)+\epsilon}.$$

Later, in [Mal04], Malle proposed a more refined conjecture, that

$$N_G(K, X) \sim c(K, G)X^{a(G)} \log X^{b(K,G)-1}$$

for a specified constant $b(K, G) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We refer to conjecture 1.1 as the *weak Malle conjecture* and the more refined version as the *strong Malle conjecture*.

Malle stated his conjecture only for extensions of a number field K, but it makes perfect sense when K is a general global field, and nowadays "Malle's conjecture" is commonly understood to have this scope. We will assume, *here and for the rest of this paper*, that K is a field whose characteristic is prime to $\#G$. Malle's conjecture still makes sense without this tameness restriction, but we have somewhat less certainty about its correctness.

Malle's conjecture has been proved in many special cases. The strong Malle conjecture holds for abelian Galois groups [Wri89]; for transitive permutation groups of degree at most 4, it is either proven or strongly supported by numerical evidence in each case [CDyDO06]. The strong conjecture (over number fields or function fields) is known to be not quite correct as formulated here with respect to the power of $\log(X)$; Klüners gave a counterexample in [Klü05], and Türkelli proposed a modified conjecture with a slightly different value of $b(K, G)$ in [Tür15]; note that these counterexamples do not contradict the weak Malle conjecture. In [EVW16], strong Malle was shown to hold up to multiplicative constants over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ when G is a generalized dihedral group of order prime to q; this is the case that's relevant to the Cohen-Lenstra conjectures over function fields.[1] The theorem of Davenport-Heilbronn [DH71] shows that strong Malle holds for S_3-extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, and the later work of Datskovsky and Wright [DW88] extends this not only to $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ but to an arbitrary global field of characteristic prime to 6. The work of Bhargava and his collaborators [Bha05, Bha10, BSW15] show that strong Malle holds for the groups S_4 and S_5 for arbitrary global fields of characteristic greater than 2, and even explicitly computes the constant $c(K, G)$. See [Woo16, §10.4,10.5] for a fuller description of what is currently known about Malle's conjecture and its variants. For general G, however, very little is known, beyond some upper and lower bounds for the case $G = S_m$ which are very far from Malle's prediction ([EV06],[BSW16].)

The goal of the present paper is to prove that the upper bound in Malle's conjecture over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ holds for all choices of G and all sufficiently large q.

[1] More precisely, [EVW16] addresses the case where the local monodromy consists entirely of involutions.

Theorem 1.2. *For each integer m and each transitive $G \leq S_m$, there are constants $C(G), Q(G)$, and $e(G)$ such that, for all $q > Q(G)$ and all $X > 0$,*

$$N_G(\mathbb{F}_q(t), X) \leq C(G) X^{a(G)} \log(X)^{e(G)}$$

More generally, we prove in Theorem 7.8 an upper bound for the number of extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with a given Galois group and conditions on the local monodromy at the ramified places of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$. We note that any nontrivial *lower* bound for $N_G(\mathbb{F}_q(t), X)$ for general G would give a positive answer to the inverse Galois problem for $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, in a strong quantitative sense; this result is beyond the reach of our techniques for now.

The exponent $e(G)$ in Theorem 1.2 may be taken to be $d+1$, where d is the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of a certain graded ring R: this ring may be presented either as a ring of components of the family of Hurwitz spaces described below, or as a free braided commutative algebra on a braided vector space V determined by G. An upper bound of $d \leq \#G - 2$ is given by Proposition 5.3; this is almost certainly not optimal. We note that the theorem would hold with $Q(G) = 1$ (that is, without any condition on q) if Hypothesis 5.9 below holds for the braided vector space V_ϵ appearing in the proof; this seems a very interesting direction for future work.

1.1 Sketch of proof: arithmetic geometry

The proof of Theorem 1.2 passes through the geometry of curves over finite fields and moduli spaces of branched covers, as we now explain.

When K is the function field of a smooth curve $Y/\mathbb{F}_q$, a degree-m extension L/K is the function field of a geometrically connected curve Σ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ which is presented as a degree m branched cover $f : \Sigma \to Y$. The discriminant of L/K is q^r, where r is the degree of ramification of f.

In this geometric setting, by contrast with the original arithmetic conjecture, the number $N_G(K; X)$ may be identified with the $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of a moduli scheme; namely, a *Hurwitz scheme* parametrizing branched covers of the curve Y. We will focus from this point onwards on the case where K is the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$. Then we let $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,\Delta=r}$ denote the Hurwitz moduli stack (defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\#G}]$) of geometrically connected branched covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ with ramification degree r and Galois group G. Theorem 1.2 then posits an upper bound for the cardinality of $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,\Delta=r}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for $r \leq \log_q X$.

In [EV05], the authors approached this enumeration via the heuristic that every $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational component of $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,\Delta=r}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of dimension D has q^D elements. Under this heuristic assumption, one has that

$$N_G(\mathbb{F}_q(t); X) \asymp X^{a(G)} \log(X)^{b(G)-1}; \tag{1.1}$$

that is, $N_G(\mathbb{F}_q(t); X)$ is asymptotically bounded above and below by constant multiples of $X^{a(G)} \log(X)^{b(G)-1}$, where $a(G)$ and $b(G)$ are explicit constants which agree with those in the strong Malle conjecture.

We can refine the picture by placing conditions on local monodromy. Every ramified point x in Y gives rise to a local monodromy element in S_m (and indeed in G), defined up to conjugacy. By analogy with topology, one thinks of this element as the permutation of the m sheets of the cover induced by a small loop around the branch point. In general, there is a purely algebraic description: the monodromy is the image of a generator of tame inertia in the decomposition group of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ at x. (This description applies in the number field case as well, as long as we avoid primes of characteristic dividing $\#G$.) If c is a conjugacy-invariant set of nontrivial elements of G, we denote by $N_G^c(K, X)$ the number of degree-m extensions of K with discriminant at most X and all local monodromy elements contained in c. For example, when $G = S_m$ and c is the class of transpositions, $N_G^c(\mathbb{F}_q(t), X)$ counts the number of degree-m covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ with simple branch points – or, in algebraic terms, with squarefree discriminant divisor. When $c = G \setminus \{1\}$, the local monodromy condition is no constraint at all; i.e. $N_G^c(K, X) = N_G(K, X)$. Our actual goal, accomplished in Theorem 7.8, will be to bound $N_G^c(\mathbb{F}_q(t), X)$ for an arbitrary conjugacy-invariant subset c.

To this end, we replace $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,\Delta=r}$, with the closely related Hurwitz scheme $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,n}^c$, which parametrizes branched covers with n branch points, Galois group G, and local monodromy in $c \subseteq G$; it turns out to be enough for our purposes to control the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on this more classical Hurwitz space. The Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed point theorem allows us to enumerate $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,n}^c(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as the alternating sum of traces of Frobenius on the compactly supported étale cohomology of $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,n}^c$. To estimate these traces, it suffices to bound the rank of these cohomology groups using Deligne's bounds on the eigenvalues of Frobenius. A comparison theorem, along with Poincaré duality, identifies these ranks with those of the singular homology $H_j(\mathsf{Hn}_{G,n}^c(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$. Theorem 1.2 is obtained by bounding these ranks exponentially in j, and polynomially (of degree bounded by e) in n.

By the Weil bounds, the heuristic applied in [EV05], that a D-dimensional geometrically irreducible variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ has q^D points, is asymptotically correct as q goes to ∞; so one way to think of the results of [EV05] is that they show that Malle's conjecture for $N_G(\mathbb{F}_q(t); q^r)$ is correct if q is allowed to go to ∞ with r fixed. Proving something as $r \to \infty$ with q fixed, as we do here, is substantially harder. The effect of letting q go to ∞ is to make the contribution of all higher étale cohomology groups of $\mathsf{Hn}_{G,n}^c$ negligible, so that it suffices to understand H^0; in the present work, by contrast, we have to give bounds for *all* the cohomology groups.

1.2 Sketch of proof: topology and quantum algebra

The complex Hurwitz space $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a stack fibering over the configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of n points in the plane via the forgetful map which carries a branched covering of $\mathbb{C}$ to its branch locus. The fibre is a subgroupoid of the translation groupoid $[c^{\times n}/G]$ (where G acts termwise by conjugation), recording the monodromy at each branch point[2]. As such, $H_*(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{Q})$ is a direct summand of the G-invariants of the homology of the braid group $B_n = \pi_1(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ with coefficients in a representation on $\mathbb{Q}[c^{\times n}]$:

$$H_*(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{C});\mathbb{Q}) \leq H_*(B_n, \mathbb{Q}[c^{\times n}])^G.$$

The bulk of this paper is devoted to developing new techniques for these and related computations.

Recall that a *braided vector space* over a field k is a finite rank vector space V equipped with an automorphism $\sigma : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ which satisfies the braid equation on $V^{\otimes 3}$. As such, this yields an action of B_n on $V^{\otimes n}$. The relevant example for Malle's conjecture is $V = kc$; here $\sigma(g \otimes h) = h \otimes g^h$ for $g, h \in c$. The resulting B_n representation on $V^{\otimes n} = k[c^{\times n}]$ is precisely the Hurwitz representation described above.

For a braided vector space V, the *quantum shuffle algebra* $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ is a braided, graded Hopf algebra whose underlying coalgebra is the cofree (tensor) coalgebra on V. Its multiplication is given by a shuffle product which incorporates the braiding σ; see section 2.4 for details. Our main structural result is

Theorem 1.3. *There is an isomorphism* $H_j(B_n; V^{\otimes n}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$.

Here V_ϵ is V with its braiding deformed by a sign, and in the bigrading on Ext, the former index is the homological degree, and the latter the internal degree. This result is Corollary 4.5, below; this extends work of Fuks, Vaĭnšteĭn, Markaryan, and Callegaro [Fuk70, Vaĭ78, Mar96, Cal06]. The connection between cohomology of sheaves on configuration spaces and shuffle algebras has also recently been established by Kapranov-Schechtman in unpublished work [KSa, KSb]. Theorem 1.3 is proven using the Fox-Neuwirth/Fuks cellular stratification of $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$, whose cellular cochain complex with coefficients in $V^{\otimes n}$ can be identified with the internal degree n part of the bar complex for $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$.

The quantum shuffle algebra is not an extremely well-studied object (with some notable exceptions such as [Ros98, DKKT97, Leb13]), and very little is known about its cohomology. However, it contains an important subalgebra called the *Nichols algebra*, $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$, which plays a central role in the classification of Hopf algebras, e.g., [Nic78,

[2]The subgroupoid in question is the full subcategory on the n-tuples which generate G, yielding a connected cover. When G is center-free, the conjugation action is fixed-point free, and one may take the actual quotient $c^{\times n}/G$, yielding a covering space over $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Wor89, AS02, AS10]. The Nichols algebra may be defined as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ generated by its degree 1 part (that is, V_ϵ).

These algebras also play a role in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance, in the case that $V = kc$ is the braided vector space associated to the conjugacy class c of transpositions in S_d, the quadratic cover of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is the Fomin-Kirillov algebra $\mathcal{E}_d$ whose structure constants encode a noncommutative extension of Schubert calculus on flag varieties [FK99]. Alternatively, if the braiding on V is given by exponentiating a Cartan matrix, then $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is the Borel part of the enveloping algebra of the associated quantum group [Ros98].

One might hope to decompose $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ as a (twisted) tensor product of $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$ and a complementary subalgebra. This is not generally possible, but will hold for the bigraded algebra associated to the wordlength filtration on $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ (Proposition 3.6). The resulting change of rings spectral sequence relates the cohomology of $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ to that of $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$. We use this spectral sequence to show that if $\mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$ grows in rank at worst exponentially in j and polynomially in n, then the same holds for $\mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$ (Theorem 5.12).

To obtain the bounds on the growth of $\#\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ necessary to yield Theorem 1.2, we must in fact provide such a bound on the growth of the cohomology of $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$. This is achieved in section 6: we present the cobar complex for $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$ via a minimal tensor model $(T_R W, d)$ over $R = \sum H_0(B_n, V^{\otimes n})$, and develop a Koszul complex for computing the space of generators W. Generally, we can inductively compute this Koszul complex via a spectral sequence arising from the subgroup lattice of G. We show that the Koszul complex for each subgroup vanishes above a certain degree using a variant on the Conway-Parker-Fried-Völklein theorem [FV91]. This yields a bound on the growth of W sufficient to control the growth of $T_R W$, and hence $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$.

1.3 Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Dev Sinha for encouraging us to approach the study of Hurwitz spaces using the Fox-Neuwirth stratification of configuration spaces. We thank Mikhail Kapranov and Vadim Schechtman for sharing their preprints [KSa, KSb] with us, and Joel Specter for a lively discussion of related material, as well as alerting us to the work of Markaryan [Mar96]. Similar thanks go to Søren Galatius for pointing us to Callegaro's [Cal06], and to Marshall Smith for allowing us to reproduce his related, unpublished computations here. Many thanks are due to Marcelo Aguiar, Nicolás Andruskiewitsch, Victor Ostrik and Sarah Witherspoon for a number of very helpful conversations about Nichols algebras and their cohomology. Lastly, we thank Alexander Berglund for his insight into Koszul models, and Tyler Lawson for guidance with homotopical algebra for associative algebras.

The first author is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1402620 and a Guggenheim Fellowship. The second author is partly supported by ARC Grant DE130100650. The third author is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1406162.

2 Algebra from braid representations

Let k be a field; unless otherwise indicated, all tensor products will be over k. Many of the definitions below carry through for arbitrary commutative rings k. However, at various points, we will need to split surjective maps of k-modules by one-sided inverses; the blanket assumption that k is a field certainly ensures this.

2.1 Monoidal braid representations and braided vector spaces

Recall that the n^{th} *braid group* B_n is presented as

$$B_n := \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \mid \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ \sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i, \text{if } |i-j| > 1 \rangle.$$

Consider the groupoid $B = \sqcup_{n\geq 0}[*/B_n]$ of all braid groups. We may equip B with the structure of a (braided) monoidal category; the tensor product $B \otimes B \to B$ is induced by the homomorphism $B_n \times B_m \to B_{n+m}$ that places braids side-by-side.

Definition 2.1. A *monoidal braid representation* is a (strictly) monoidal functor Φ from B to the category of finite rank vector spaces over k.

The family of monoidal braid representations forms a category whose morphisms are monoidal natural transformations. Note that Φ carries the n^{th} object of B to a vector space $\Phi(n)$. Since Φ is monoidal, there is an isomorphism of k-modules $\Phi(n) \cong \Phi(1)^{\otimes n}$.

Definition 2.2. A *braided vector space* V is a vector space over k of finite rank, equipped with a *braiding* $\sigma : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ which is invertible and satisfies the braid equation

$$(\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma) \circ (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma) \circ (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma)$$

as a self-map of $V^{\otimes 3}$.

Braided vector spaces form a category where morphisms between (V, σ) and (W, τ) are k-linear maps $f : V \to W$ with $(f \otimes f) \circ \sigma = \tau \circ (f \otimes f)$. Note that B_n acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ via $\sigma_i \mapsto \mathrm{id}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n-i-1}$. The following is straightforward:

Proposition 2.3. *There is a pair of inverse equivalences between the categories*

$$\textit{monoidal braid representations} \leftrightarrow \textit{braided vector spaces}$$

carrying Φ *to* $\Phi(1)$ *and* V *to the braid representation on* $V^{\otimes n}$ *described above.*

2.2 Yetter-Drinfeld modules and braided Hopf algebras

One way of obtaining a braided vector space is as a Yetter-Drinfeld module:

Definition 2.4. For a group[3] G, a *(graded) Yetter-Drinfeld module* M is a $\mathbb{Z}\times G$-graded right kG-module with the property that $M_{j,b}\cdot a \leq M_{j,b^a}$ for $a, b \in G$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$; here $b^a = a^{-1}ba \in G$. A map of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is a kG-module map preserving this grading.

Depending upon the context, for $g \in G$ and $m \in M$, we may either write $m \cdot g$ or m^g for the action of g on m. Further, if $n \in M_{j,g}$, we will write $m^n := m^g$ for the action on m of the index $g \in G$ labelling n.

The category $\mathcal{YD}^G_G$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules forms a braided monoidal category, where the braiding $\sigma : M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ is given by the formula

$$\sigma(m \otimes n) := n \otimes m^n = n \otimes m^g$$

where $n \in N_{j,g}$. Taking $N = M$ gives a (graded) braided vector space (M, σ).

Further, if A and B are Yetter-Drinfeld algebras (i.e., monoid objects in $\mathcal{YD}^G_G$), then their tensor product $A \otimes B$ becomes a Yetter-Drinfeld algebra using the braiding, by defining a multiplication $\star$:

$$(a \otimes b) \star (a' \otimes b') := (a \star a') \otimes (b^{a'} \star b') = (a \star a') \otimes (b^g \star b')$$

where $a' \in A_{j,g}$.

A *braided, graded Hopf algebra* is a graded Hopf algebra object A in a braided monoidal category; we will mostly focus on those occurring in the category $\mathcal{YD}^G_G$. That is, A is simultaneously a unital associative k-algebra (with multiplication $\star$ and unit η), and a counital coassociative coalgebra (with coproduct Δ and counit ϵ), where all structure maps are maps of Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Further, $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ is an algebra map (using the twisted multiplication described above). Lastly, the antipode $\chi : A \to A$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld map with

$$\eta\epsilon(a) = \sum_i a'_i \star \chi(a''_i) = \sum_i \chi(a'_i) \star a''_i$$

for $\Delta(a) = \sum a'_i \otimes a''_i$.

[3] One may in fact define this category for any Hopf algebra over k; groups will suffice for our purposes.

2.3 Braided vector spaces of rack type

We recall that a *rack* is a set R with a binary operation $(a, b) \mapsto b^a$ with the properties that

1. $(c^a)^{b^a} = (c^b)^a$, and
2. For each a, $b \in R$, there exists a unique $c \in R$ with $c^a = b$. We will notate c as $c = {}^a b$.

Further, R is a *quandle* if $a^a = a$ for each $a \in R$.

Example 2.5. The main example of a quandle is obtained by taking R to be a group, and the operation to be conjugation: $b^a = a^{-1}ba$. The first axiom is straightforward to verify; the second is gotten from taking

$$c = {}^a b = b^{(a^{-1})} = aba^{-1}.$$

Consequently R may also be taken to be a union of conjugacy classes which is closed under inversion. Alternatively, one may define the *structure group* $G(R)$ of a rack R: it is generated by R, subject to the relations $b \cdot a = a \cdot (b^a)$.

A rack R then defines a braided vector space by setting $V := kR$ to be the free k-module generated by R, with braiding $\sigma(a \otimes b) = x_{ab}(b \otimes a^b)$, where the constants $x_{ab} \in k^\times$ must satisfy

$$x_{ab}x_{a^b c} = x_{ac}x_{a^c b^c}$$

in order for the braid equation to hold. The function $(a, b) \mapsto x_{ab}$ is a degree 2 *rack cocycle* with coefficients in $k^\times$; see, e.g., [EG03].

We will write $V(R, x)$ for the braided vector space associated to these data. An obvious choice is to take $x_{ab} = 1$ for all a, b. A less obvious cocycle is given by $x_{ab} = -1$; the resulting braided vector space $V_\epsilon := V(R, -1)$ is one of the main subjects of this paper.

We note that there is a right action of the structure group $G(R)$ on V by conjugation of basis elements; for $a \in R$ and $b \in G(R)$,

$$a \cdot b = a^b.$$

Further, we may make V into a Yetter-Drinfeld module for $G(R)$ by equipping V with the $G(R)$-grading where $a \in V_a$ for $a \in R \subseteq G(R)$.



Figure 1: Lifting an (m, n)-shuffle to a braid.

2.4 Quantum shuffle algebras

Recall that a *shuffle* $\tau : \{1, \dots, m\} \sqcup \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, m+n\}$ is a bijection which does not change the order of either $\{1, \dots, m\}$ or $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For any such τ, there is a natural choice of a lift $\widetilde{\tau} \in B_{m+n}$ which is given by the braid that shuffles the endpoints according to τ by moving the right n strands in front of the left m strands (See Figure 1). Let (V, σ) be a braided vector space. We will write elements of $V^{\otimes n}$ using bar complex notation; i.e., $[a_1|\dots|a_n]$.

Definition 2.6. The *quantum shuffle algebra* $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ is a braided, graded bialgebra: its underlying coalgebra is the tensor coalgebra $T^{co}(V)$ (i.e., with the deconcatenation coproduct Δ) and has multiplication defined by the quantum shuffle product:

$$[a_1|\dots|a_m] \star [b_1|\dots|b_n] = \sum_{\tau} \widetilde{\tau}[a_1|\dots|a_m|b_1|\dots|b_n]$$

where the sum is over shuffles $\tau : \{1, \dots, m\} \sqcup \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, m+n\}$.

The quantum shuffle multiplication on $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ is associative, though this is not obvious; see, e.g., [Ros98, Leb13, KSV14]. This makes $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ into a braided, graded, connected bialgebra. It is in general not commutative and is only cocommutative when $\mathrm{rk}_k(V) = 1$. In fact, $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ is a braided Hopf algebra, as it admits an antipode $\chi : \mathfrak{A}(V) \to \mathfrak{A}(V)$. Following [Mil58], this is given by $\chi(1) = 1$, and in positive degrees as the unique solution to the equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} [a_1|\dots|a_j] \star \chi([a_{j+1}|\dots|a_n]) = 0.$$

As noted above, the coproduct is only a map of algebras when $\mathfrak{A}(V) \otimes \mathfrak{A}(V)$ is given the product structure twisted by the braiding. In general, we may describe that as follows: for each m and n, we define a map

$$\sigma_{m,n} : V^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes m}$$

via the action of B_{m+n} on $V^{\otimes m+n}$, using the braid that moves the first m strands behind the last n strands (see Figure 2). As m and n vary, the collection of these maps define



Figure 2: The braiding on $\mathfrak{A}(V) \otimes \mathfrak{A}(V)$ in the case when (V, σ) is a Yetter-Drinfeld module for a group G.

the braiding on $\mathfrak{A}(V) \otimes \mathfrak{A}(V)$ which twists the multiplication. In the case that (V, σ) is a Yetter-Drinfeld module for a group G, a formula for $\sigma_{m,n}$ is straightforward:

$$\sigma_{m,n}([a_1|\dots|a_m] \otimes [b_1|\dots|b_n]) = [b_1|\dots|b_n] \otimes [a_1^g|\dots|a_m^g] \tag{2.2}$$

where each $b_i \in V_{g_i}$, and $g = g_1 \dots g_n$. We note that $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module where the grading on tensor products is by multiplication; e.g., in the above, $[b_1|\dots|b_n] \in V_g$.

2.5 The Nichols algebra

A central object of study in this paper is the Nichols algebra:

Definition 2.7. The *Nichols algebra* or *quantum symmetric algebra* $\mathfrak{B}(V) \leq \mathfrak{A}(V)$ is the k-subalgebra generated by V under the quantum shuffle product.

Since V is primitive, the Nichols algebra is also the sub-braided Hopf algebra of $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ generated by V. Consequently, there is a map of Hopf algebras

$$\mathfrak{S} : T(V) \to \mathfrak{A}(V)$$

induced by the identity of V; $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is the image of the map. Noting that $T(V)$ is the free algebra generated by V, and $\mathfrak{A}(V) = T^{co}(V)$ is the cofree coalgebra (primitively) generated by V, we may conclude that in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, there is an isomorphism

$$P(\mathfrak{B}(V)) = V \cong Q(\mathfrak{B}(V)).$$

That is, in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ the natural map from primitives to indecomposables is an isomorphism.

In fact, this characterizes $\mathfrak{B}(V)$: it is the unique braided Hopf algebra generated by V with no other primitives [Nic78, AS02]. We will summarize some of the important properties of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, and refer the reader to these references as well as sections 2 and 3 of [Baz06] for further information on this rather mysterious object.

From the above description, we note that we can present $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ as a quotient of $T(V)$. It was shown in [Sch96] that the degree n component of $\mathfrak{S}$ is given by the *quantum symmetrizer* $\mathfrak{S}_n : V^{\otimes n} \to V^{\otimes n}$:

$$\mathfrak{S}_n[v_1|\dots|v_n] = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \widetilde{\tau}[v_1|\dots|v_n];$$

here $\widetilde{\tau} \in B_n$ is the Matsumoto lift[4] of $\tau \in S_n$. This recovers the definition of [Nic78, Wor89] that $\mathfrak{B}(V)_n$ is presented as the quotient of $T(V)_n = V^{\otimes n}$ by the kernel of $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

There is a pairing between Nichols algebras for dual braided vector spaces that we will need in section 6.4 in order to describe the differential on a Koszul complex for $\mathfrak{B}(V)$. The dual V^* of V may be made into a braided vector space whose braiding is the dual of that of V. The pairing between V and V^* extends to a Hopf algebra pairing between $T(V)$ and $T(V^*)$; that is, it is the unique pairing for which the product in $T(V)$ is adjoint to the diagonal in $T(V^*)$. Explicitly, if $(-,-)$ is the standard termwise pairing between $V^{\otimes n}$ and $(V^*)^{\otimes n}$, then the Hopf pairing $\langle -,- \rangle$ is zero on tensors of different length, and in degree n,

$$\langle [v_1|\cdots|v_n], [\phi_1|\cdots|\phi_n] \rangle = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\widetilde{\tau}[v_1|\cdots|v_n], [\phi_1|\cdots|\phi_n]).$$

This may be degenerate; however, it is apparent from this formula that the kernel of the quantum symmetrizer is in fact the kernel of the pairing. Thus it descends to a nondegenerate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{B}(V) \otimes \mathfrak{B}(V^*) \to k.$$

This yields a right action of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ on $\mathfrak{B}(V^*)$: if $v \in V$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{B}(V^*)$, define $\partial_v \phi \in \mathfrak{B}(V^*)$ by

$$\langle \partial_v \phi, x \rangle = \langle \phi, vx \rangle.$$

It is easy to check that $\partial_{vw} = \partial_w \partial_v$, so the map $\partial : V \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{B}(V^*))$ can be extended to give a ring homomorphism $\mathfrak{B}(V)^{op} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{B}(V^*))$.

When V is a Yetter-Drinfeld module, we note that the action is through "skew derivations:"

$$\partial_v(\phi\psi) = \partial_v(\phi)\psi + \phi^v \partial_v(\psi).$$

Here $\phi^v = \phi^g$ using the Yetter-Drinfeld structure, if $v \in V_g$. To see that this formula

[4]This is the (set-theoretic) section $S_n \to B_n$ to the natural projection which expresses a permutation as a minimal length word in the transpositions $(i, i+1)$, and replaces each of these with the braid σ_i.

holds, we note

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_v(\phi\psi), x\rangle &= \langle \phi\psi, vx\rangle \\
&= \langle \phi\otimes\psi, \Delta(vx)\rangle \\
&= \langle \phi\otimes\psi, \sum vx_i'\otimes x_i'' + \sum (x_i')^v \otimes vx_i''\rangle \\
&= \sum \langle \phi, vx_i'\rangle\langle\psi, x_i''\rangle + \sum \langle \phi, (x_i')^v\rangle\langle\psi, vx_i''\rangle \\
&= \sum \langle \partial_v\phi, x_i'\rangle\langle\psi, x_i''\rangle + \sum \langle \phi^v, x_i'\rangle\langle\partial_v\psi, x_i''\rangle \\
&= \langle \partial_v(\phi)\psi + \phi^v\partial_v(\psi), x\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Delta(x) = \sum x_i'\otimes x_i''$; in the third line, we use the fact that v is primitive, and that $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is a braided Hopf algebra.

3 Algebraic properties of quantum shuffle algebras

Henceforth we will work with a fixed braided vector space V over a field k given by a Yetter-Drinfeld module for a group G. We will write $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(V)$. The goal of this section is to decompose $\mathfrak{A}$ into a (twisted) tensor product, and begin to compute its cohomology via Cartan-Eilenberg spectral sequences.

3.1 Twisted tensor product decompositions

Let $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ be graded, braided Hopf algebras in ${}^G_G\mathcal{YD}$, and let $\rho : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$ be a map of Yetter-Drinfeld coalgebras. This makes $\mathfrak{C}$ into a right $\mathfrak{D}$-comodule. Recall that the cotensor product with k is

$$\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}}k = \{x \in \mathfrak{C} \mid (\mathrm{id}\otimes\rho)\circ\Delta(x) = x\otimes 1\}.$$

This is sometimes written $\mathfrak{C}^{co\,\mathfrak{D}}$. If ρ is actually a map of bialgebras, then it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}}k$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}$. The following is a special case of Theorem 3.2 of [MS00], and adapts some results of [MM65] to the braided setting:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that ρ is a map of Yetter-Drinfeld bialgebras, and $i : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{C}$ is a map of Yetter-Drinfeld-algebras with $\rho\circ i = id_{\mathfrak{D}}$. Then the multiplication map*

$$\mu : (\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}}k)\otimes\mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{C}$$

which carries $x\otimes y$ to $x\star i(y)$ is an isomorphism of left $\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}}k$-modules, and right $\mathfrak{D}$-comodules.

One can say a little more. Let $\alpha : \mathfrak{D} \otimes (\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}} k) \to (\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}} k) \otimes \mathfrak{D}$ be the map carrying $d \otimes c$ to $\mu^{-1}(d \star c)$. Define the *twisted tensor product* $(\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}} k) \otimes_\alpha \mathfrak{D}$ to be $(\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}} k) \otimes \mathfrak{D}$, equipped with the multiplication

$$(c_1 \otimes d_1) \cdot (c_2 \otimes d_2) := \sum (c_1 \star c_2^i) \otimes (d_1^i \star d_2)$$

where $\alpha(d_1 \otimes c_2) = \sum_i c_2^i \otimes d_1^i$. Given Theorem 3.1, the following is nearly definitional:

Corollary 3.2. *The map $\mu : (\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{D}} k) \otimes_\alpha \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{C}$ is an isomorphism of Yetter-Drinfeld algebras.*

3.2 The word-length filtration on $\mathfrak{A}$

In this section, we examine the filtration of $\mathfrak{A}$ by powers of its augmentation ideal, and decompose the associated graded algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ into a twisted tensor product.

Write $\mathfrak{A}_{>0}$ for the augmentation ideal of the quantum shuffle algebra, and let $Q(\mathfrak{A}) = (\mathfrak{A}_{>0})/(\mathfrak{A}_{>0})^2$ denote the graded module of indecomposables in $\mathfrak{A}$. Define a decreasing filtration of $\mathfrak{A}$ by setting $F_k(\mathfrak{A}) = (\mathfrak{A}_{>0})^k$ to be the k^{th} power of the augmentation ideal. Then $F_k(\mathfrak{A})$ is generated by words in the indecomposables in $\mathfrak{A}$ of length at least k. This is obviously a filtration by ideals; in fact, multiplication in $\mathfrak{A}$ carries $F_p(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_q(\mathfrak{A})$ to $F_{p+q}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ be the bigraded k-algebra associated to this filtration; $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{p,q} = F_p(\mathfrak{A}_q)/F_{p+1}(\mathfrak{A}_q)$.

Notice that since $\mathfrak{A}$ is an algebra in $\mathcal{YD}^G_G$, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{>0}$ and all of its powers are Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Therefore $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ is a bigraded Yetter-Drinfeld algebra. We note in particular that $Q(A) = \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{1,*}$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld module.

If we filter the tensor product $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ by

$$F_k(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}) = \sum_{p+q=k} F_p(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_q(\mathfrak{A}),$$

then the diagonal on $\mathfrak{A}$ respects the filtration; $\Delta : F_k(\mathfrak{A}) \to F_k(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A})$. This may be seen as follows: for an element $a \in F_1(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}_{>0}$, it is vacuously true that

$$\Delta(a) \in F_1(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_0(\mathfrak{A}) + F_0(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_1(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}_{>0} \otimes \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{>0},$$

since the complement to the right side is the k-span of $1 \otimes 1$. For a generator $b = a_1 \cdots a_k \in F_k(\mathfrak{A})$, $\Delta(b)$ is the product (with respect to the twisted multiplication in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$)

$$\Delta(b) = \star_{i=1}^k \Delta(a_i) \in \star_{i=1}^k (F_1(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_0(\mathfrak{A}) + F_0(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_1(\mathfrak{A})) \subseteq \sum_{p+q=k} F_p(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes F_q(\mathfrak{A}).$$

In the last containment, we have used the fact that the definition of multiplication in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ uses the G-module structure as in equation (2.2), and that $F_k(\mathfrak{A})$ is invariant under the G action. Therefore, Δ descends to a coassociative diagonal on $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ of the form

$$\Delta : \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{p,q} \to \bigoplus_{a+b=p} \bigoplus_{c+d=q} = \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{a,c} \otimes \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{b,d}$$

Here we use the fact that $F_p(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A})/F_{p+1}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}) = \oplus_{a+b=p} \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{a,*} \otimes \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{b,*}$.

Additionally, the antipode on $\mathfrak{A}$ preserves the filtration, so we may conclude

Proposition 3.4. *The k-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ is a braided, bigraded Hopf algebra in the Yetter-Drinfeld category $\mathcal{YD}^G_G$.*

Notice that since every indecomposable of $\mathfrak{A}$ has degree at least 1, $F_p(\mathfrak{A}_q) = 0$ for $p < q$. Also, the natural composite $\mathfrak{B}_p \to \mathfrak{A}_p \to \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{p,p}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces, since $\mathfrak{B}_p$ is precisely the subspace of $\mathfrak{A}_p$ generated by words of length p (in the indecomposables of degree 1). In fact, the diagonal subspace $\sum_p \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{p,p}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$; this is then isomorphic to $\mathfrak{B}$ as a graded algebra. Finally, if we define $L := \sum_{p>q} \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{p,q}$, then L is an ideal in $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$. The projection onto the diagonal subspace yields an isomorphism of rings

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}/L \cong \sum_p \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{p,p} \cong \mathfrak{B}.$$

It is easy to see that L is actually a Hopf ideal, since the diagonal respects the grading. Thus $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ is a $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}/L$-comodule.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{E} := \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}} \Box_{\mathfrak{B}} k$ be the cotensor product of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ over $\mathfrak{B}$.

Then $\mathfrak{E}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$, and Corollary 3.2 (with $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$, and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{B}$) says:

Proposition 3.6. *Multiplication gives an isomorphism $i : \mathfrak{E} \otimes_\alpha \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ of the twisted tensor product of $\mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ with $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$.*

The structure of the algebra $\mathfrak{E}$ is far from clear. However, since $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ agree in degree 1, $\mathfrak{E}$ is generated as an algebra in degrees 2 and higher.

3.3 Change of rings and May spectral sequences

The previous section gives us a tool for computing the cohomology of quantum shuffle algebras:

Proposition 3.7. *There is a first-quadrant spectral sequence of rings:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{p,r}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k, \mathrm{Ext}^{q,s}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k)) \implies \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q,r+s}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(k,k).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of a standard Cartan-Eilenberg change of rings spectral sequence associated to the quotient ring map $q : \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}} \to \mathfrak{B}$:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{p,r}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k, \mathrm{Ext}^{q,s}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(\mathfrak{B}, k)) \implies \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q,r+s}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(k, k).$$

To get the result as stated, we note: if $R_\bullet \to k$ is a free $\mathfrak{E}$-resolution of k, Proposition 3.6 ensures that $R_\bullet \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ is a free $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$-resolution of $k \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}} = \mathfrak{B}$. So $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(\mathfrak{B}, k)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k, k)$ are both computed as the cohomology of the complex

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(R_\bullet \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}, k) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{E}}(R_\bullet, k)$$

and are therefore equal.

□

Additionally, we note that the since the filtration of $\mathfrak{A}$ by powers of the augmentation ideal is multiplicative, we immediately have a spectral sequence (see, e.g., [May66])

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(k, k) \implies \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k, k).$$

4 Topology of configuration spaces

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3, identifying

$$H_j(B_n, V^{\otimes n}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k, k)$$

and explore this result through several examples. Throughout, V may be taken to be an arbitrary braided vector space.

4.1 Fox-Neuwirth/Fuks cells

We recall from [FN62] and [Fuk70] (see also [Vas92, GS12]) a stratification of $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by Euclidean spaces. This does not give a cell-decomposition of $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$, but rather of its 1-point compactification.

An *ordered* partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of n has $\sum \lambda_i = n$. We will write $k =: |\lambda|$ for the number of *parts* of λ. The n^{th} symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of the real line has a stratification by these partitions:

$$\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \coprod_{\lambda \vdash n} \mathrm{Sym}_\lambda(\mathbb{R})$$

where elements of $\mathrm{Sym}_\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ consist of an unordered subset of $|\lambda|$ distinct points $x_1, \ldots x_{|\lambda|}$, the i^{th} of which has multiplicity λ_i. Further, since $\mathbb{R}$ is ordered, we insist that $x_1 <$



Figure 3: A configuration in $\mathrm{Conf}_{(2,3,5,3)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Conf}_{13}(\mathbb{C})$. The configuration is mapped by π to $(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_3, x_3, x_3, x_3, x_4, x_4, x_4) \in \mathrm{Sym}_{(2,3,5,3)}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{Sym}_{13}(\mathbb{R})$.

$\cdots < x_{|\lambda|}$. This space is evidently homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Conf}_{|\lambda|}(\mathbb{R})$, which is in turn homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{|\lambda|}$.

Define a map $\pi : \mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ by taking real parts:

$$\pi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (\Re(z_1), \ldots, \Re(z_n))$$

and let $\mathrm{Conf}_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ denote the preimage of $\mathrm{Sym}_\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ under π (see Figure 3). This subspace is homeomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Sym}_\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{|\lambda|} \mathrm{Conf}_{\lambda_i}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where the configuration factors record the imaginary part of the configuration. We again employ the fact that $\mathrm{Conf}_k(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ and conclude that $\mathrm{Conf}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+|\lambda|}$. These spaces then form a cellular decomposition of $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$. Loosely speaking, the boundaries of the cell described above occur in two ways. First, points in a configuration may approach each other along vertical lines (in which case their boundary is the point at infinity). Secondly, the i^{th} and $i{+}1^{\text{st}}$ vertical columns of configurations may approach each other horizontally, in which case the associated component of the boundary is given in terms of a cell $\mathrm{Conf}_\rho(\mathbb{C})$, where ρ is obtained from λ by summing λ_i and λ_{i+1}. In summary:

Proposition 4.1. *There is a cellular stratification of* $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$ *whose positive dimension cells* $\mathrm{Conf}_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ *(of dimension* $n + |\lambda|$*) are indexed by ordered partitions of* n*. The boundary of* $\mathrm{Conf}_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ *is the union of* $\mathrm{Conf}_\rho(\mathbb{C})$*, where* λ *is a refinement of* ρ*.*

From this we can write down an explicit cellular chain complex for $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}$:

Definition 4.2 (The Fox-Neuwirth/Fuks complex)**.** For integers i and j, let

$$c_{i,j} = \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{|\tau|}$$

be the sum of the signs[5] of all shuffles $\tau : \{1, \ldots, i\} \sqcup \{1, \ldots, j\} \to \{1, \ldots, i+j\}$. Let $C(n)_*$ denote the chain complex which in degree q is generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the set of ordered partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n})$ of n with $q-n$ parts. The differential $d : C(n)_q \to C(n)_{q-1}$ is given by the formula

$$d(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{q-n-1} (-1)^{i-1} c_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}} (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n})$$

The constant $c_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}}$ is recording the fact that the part of the boundary of the cell $\mathrm{Conf}_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ which combines the columns of λ_i and λ_{i+1} points in a vertical line does so by shuffling those points together into a single vertical column. The signs in the formula arise from the induced orientations on the boundary strata, and a general scheme for explaining them is given in [GS12]. Then

$$H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}, \{\infty\}) \cong H_*(C(n)_*).$$

With coefficients modulo 2, this was originally obtained by Fuks in [Fuk70], and integrally by Vaĭnšteĭn in [Vaĭ78]; see also [Vas92].

4.2 The cellular chain complex with local coefficients

Let L be a representation of B_n, and $\mathcal{L}$ the associated local system over $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ trivializes on the open cells of the Fox-Neuwirth/Fuks stratification, it follows from the previous section that the cellular chain complex with local coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ is in fact isomorphic (as a graded group) to $C(n)_* \otimes L$. To understand the differential, however, we must incorporate the braid action on L.

Specifically, we define a differential d on $C(n)_* \otimes L$ by

$$d[(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}) \otimes \ell] = \sum_{i=1}^{q-n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \left[(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}) \otimes \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{|\tau|} \widetilde{\tau}(\ell) \right].$$

where τ is drawn from the shuffles of λ_i with λ_{i+1}, and $\widetilde{\tau}$ is its lift (as described in section 2.4) to the copy of $B_{\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}} \leq B_n$ consisting of the braids that are only nontrivial on the $\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}$ strands starting with the $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1^{\mathrm{st}}$.

[5]Here, the sign of a shuffle is that of the representative element of S_{i+j}.

Theorem 4.3. *There is an isomorphism* $H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}, \{\infty\}; \mathcal{L}) \cong H_*(C(n)_* \otimes L)$.

Proof. Given a cell complex structure on a space X, one can lift the cells to the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ to obtain a cell decomposition of $\tilde{X}$. Given a $\pi_1(X)$ representation L, the homology of X with coefficients in L can be computed as the homology of the cellular complex $C(\tilde{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} L$. We may describe this complex explicitly. The set of cells of $\tilde{X}$ admits the structure of a right torsor under $\pi_1(X)$ with quotient given by the set of cells of X. Noncanonically, we may identify this with the set of pairs consisting of a cell of X and an element of $\pi_1(X)$.

In our case, let $\widetilde{C(n)_*}$ be the cellular chain complex of the universal cover on $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ obtained by lifting the Fox-Neuwirth/Fuks cells. We may noncanonically identify

$$\widetilde{C(n)_q} \cong \mathbb{Z}\{((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), b) | b \in B_n\}$$

as right B_n representations (where B_n acts by right multiplication on itself on the right side). We describe one choice of such an identification which gives the desired description of the differentials.

The top dimensional cells of $\widetilde{C(n)_q}$ occur when $q = 2n$ and are of the form $((1, \ldots, 1), b)$ where $b \in B_n$. Consider the codimension 1 faces of the cell $((1, \ldots, 1), b)$ obtained when the i^{th} and $i+1^{\text{st}}$ points in $\mathbb{C}$ lie on a single vertical line. There are two ways for this to happen. Either the i^{th} point is below the $i+1^{\text{st}}$ point, or it is above the $i+1^{\text{st}}$ point. We identify the former with the cell labelled $((1, \ldots, 1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1), b)$ (where the 2 is in the i^{th} position), while the later is the cell labelled by $((1, \ldots, 1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1), b'b)$, where b' is the braid swapping the i^{th} strand under the $i+1^{\text{st}}$ strand. Note that this choice of labelling is consistent with the right action of B_n.

More generally, the cell $((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), b)$ corresponds to the (high codimension) face of $((1, \ldots, 1), b)$ obtained by iteratively taking the first of the faces described above (leaving the element $b \in B_n$ unchanged). Specifically, for each $i = 1, \ldots, q-n$, take the face of $((1, \ldots, 1), b)$ where the λ_i points in the i^{th} column come together so that the points to the leftmost always lie below the points coming from the right. If, instead, one arranged the face so that the points on the right come from nontrivial shuffles with the points on the left, then the element of B_n that this cell should be labelled by is multiplied by the (lift to the braid group) of the shuffle, as in the definition of the quantum shuffle algebra.

Under this identification of the fibres of $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n})$ with B_n, it becomes clear that the i^{th} face map – which collides the i^{th} and $i+1^{\text{st}}$ columns of points – is given by

$$\partial_i(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), \sigma) = \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{|\tau|} (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), \tilde{\tau}\sigma).$$

Here, the sum is over shuffles τ of sets of cardinality λ_i and λ_{i+1} and $\tilde{\tau}$ is the usual lift of a shuffle to $B_{\lambda_i+\lambda_{i+1}} \leq B_n$. The signs in this formula come from comparing the orientations of these cells. The differential on this complex is given by the signed sum of face maps: $d = \sum_{i=1}^{q-n-1}(-1)^i\partial_i$.

Given a B_n representation L, we want to give a description of the chain complex $\widetilde{C(n)_*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}B_n} L$ and its differential. Firstly, we may identify $(\underline{\lambda}, \sigma) \otimes \ell$ with $(\underline{\lambda}, 1) \otimes \sigma(\ell)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d[((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), \sigma) \otimes \ell] &= \sum_{i=1}^{q-n-1} \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{|\tau|+i-1}((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), \tilde{\tau}\sigma) \otimes \ell \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{q-n-1} \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{|\tau|+i-1}((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q-n}), 1) \otimes \tilde{\tau}\sigma(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Identifying $\widetilde{C(n)_*} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}B_n} L \cong C(n)_* \otimes L$ via $(\underline{\lambda}, \sigma) \otimes \ell \mapsto (\underline{\lambda}, 1) \otimes \sigma(\ell)$ then gives the desired description of the chain complex and differential. □

4.3 A homological algebraic interpretation

Write ϵ for the braided k-module $\epsilon = k$ with braiding on $k = \epsilon^{\otimes 2}$ given by multiplication by -1. For a general braided k-module (V, σ), write $V_\epsilon = V \otimes \epsilon$ with braiding twisted by the sign on ϵ. If $V = V(R, x)$ is the braided k-module associated to a rack R and cocycle x, then $V_\epsilon = V(R, -x)$ is obtained by twisting the cocycle by the sign representation of the rack, as defined in Section 2.3.

For any (V, σ), consider the quantum shuffle algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$. Write $I := \mathfrak{A}_{>0}$ for the augmentation ideal consisting of elements of positive degree. We consider the *normalized* (or *reduced*) *two-sided bar complex* $B_*(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ with

$$B_q(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) = I \otimes I^{\otimes q} \otimes I \cong I^{\otimes q+2}.$$

Recall that the differential on the bar complex is given by

$$d(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{q+1}) := \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes a_{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{q+1}.$$

This complex may be extended to one which is nonzero for $q \geq -2$ by the same formula; we define:

$$B^e_q(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) = I^{\otimes q+2}, \text{ for } q \geq -1. \text{ If } q = -2, \text{ set } B^e_{-2}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) = k.$$

Equip $B^e_q(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ with the same differential $d : B^e_q \to B^e_{q-1}$ for $q \geq 0$. That is, the bar differential above is still well-defined for $q \geq 0$, as well as for $q = -1$, if we choose to interpret it as $d = 0$ (which we do). Furthermore, it is still the case that $d^2 = 0$ since $\mathfrak{A}$ is associative.

With this definition, the natural map $B^e(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) \to B(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ is a quasi-isomorphism in degrees $q > 0$, so if $q > 0$,

$$H_q B^e(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) = \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_q(I, I).$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that $H_{-2}B^e(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) = k$. In degree -1, $H_{-1}B^e(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) = Q(\mathfrak{A}) = I/I^2$ is the module of indecomposables of $\mathfrak{A}$. Degree 0 requires a little more care to interpret; see the proof of Corollary 4.5.

For an element $a = a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{q+1} \in B^e_q(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ with a_i homogenous elements of I of degree $\deg(a_i)$, we may define the degree of a to be $\deg(a) = \sum \deg(a_i)$. The differential in $B^e_*(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ strictly preserves the degree of elements; we will write $B^e_{*,n}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ for the (split) subcomplex generated by homogeneous elements of degree precisely n.

Proposition 4.4. *There is an isomorphism of chain complexes*

$$B^e_{*,n}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) \cong C(n)_{n+2+*} \otimes V^{\otimes n}.$$

Proof. Note that $B^e_{q,n}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ is the summand of $I \otimes I^{\otimes q} \otimes I$ given by all spaces of the form

$$V^{\otimes m_0} \otimes (V^{\otimes m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V^{\otimes m_q}) \otimes V^{\otimes m_{q+1}}$$

where $\sum m_i = n$. This is an ordered partition of n with $q + 2$ parts labelled by an element of $V^{\otimes n}$, so there is an obvious isomorphism of k-modules between $B^e_{q,n}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$ and $C(n)_{n+2+q} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$. Further, it is apparent from the definition in section 4.2 that the differential on the latter is precisely the differential in the bar complex for $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ – the signs coming from ϵ encode the boundary orientations on cells in the Fox-Neuwirth/Fuks model.

□

Corollary 4.5. *There is an isomorphism*

$$H_q(B_n; V^{\otimes n}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-q,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k, k).$$

Furthermore, the natural multiplication on the braid homology is carried to the Yoneda product on Ext*; that is,*

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H_*(B_n; V^{\otimes n}) \cong \bigoplus_n \mathrm{Ext}^{n-*,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k, k)$$

is an isomorphism of bigraded rings.

In the case that $V = k$ is the sign representation of B_n, this result was established by Markaryan [Mar96]; Cohen als computed these homology groups using different techniques in [CLM76]. Callegaro adapted this argument to the setting where the generating braids operate on $V = k$ by multiplication by $q \in k^\times$ [Cal06]. Both then computed these cohomologies; we revisit these computations in section 4.5. In their forthcoming work [KSa, KSb], Kapranov and Schechtman also investigate the homology of braid groups (and more generally of perverse sheaves on $\mathbb{C}^n/S_n$) via the cohomology of shuffle algebras.

Proof of Corollary 4.5. There is a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules

$$0 \to I \to \mathfrak{A} \to k \to 0$$

where $k = \mathfrak{A}/I$ is equipped with the trivial $\mathfrak{A}$-module structure. This yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p+1}(\mathfrak{A}, I) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p+1}(k, I) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p}(I, I) \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p}(\mathfrak{A}, I) \to \cdots$$

For $p > 0$, the outer terms are 0, and so $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p+1}(k, I) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p}(I, I)$. We may apply the same argument on the second module variable in Tor (but with k in the first module) and obtain a chain of isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p}(I, I) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p+1}(k, I) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{p+2}(k, k) \text{ if } p > 0,$$

and so the connecting maps give a quasi-isomorphism

$$B^e_{*,n}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I) \simeq B_{*+2,n}(k, \mathfrak{A}, k) \tag{4.3}$$

in degrees $* > 0$.

In the lowest degree, we have $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_0(k, k) = k = H_{-2}B^e_*(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$. Similarly, it is well known that $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_1(k, k) = Q(\mathfrak{A})$, and we have already seen that this is isomorphic to $H_{-1}B^e_*(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$. In Tor degree 2, we may use one of the above long exact sequence to obtain an isomorphism $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_2(k, k) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_1(k, I)$, and then

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_1(k, I) \to I \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} I \to I \to k \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} I.$$

That is, $\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_2(k, k) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{A}}_1(k, I)$ is the kernel of the map $I \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} I \to I$ given by multiplication. It is easy to identify this with $H_0B^e_*(I, \mathfrak{A}, I)$, so we conclude that (4.3) holds in all degrees.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the local system over $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ associated to $V^{\otimes n}$. Dualizing over k the results of the previous Proposition, Theorem 4.3, and the above argument gives a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} C^{2n-*}_{CW}(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup \{\infty\}, \{\infty\}; \mathcal{L}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(C(n)_{2n-*} \otimes V^{\otimes n}, k) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(B^e_{n-2-*,n}(I, \mathfrak{A}, I), k) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(B_{n-*,n}(k, \mathfrak{A}, k), k) \end{aligned}$$

in degrees $* < n$. We take homology to obtain

$$H_q(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}); \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n-q,n}(k,k);$$

On the left side, we have employed Poincaré duality with local coefficients for the (orientable) non-compact manifold $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and on the right, the fact that the dual of the bar complex $B_*(k, \mathfrak{A}, k)$ computes $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k)$.

We address the ring structure. Multiplication in the homology of configuration spaces is gotten by the little disks multiplication which places configurations side by side. This extends to homology with coefficients in the local system associated to $V^{\otimes n}$ by virtue of Proposition 2.3: these are precisely monoidal representations for this multiplication. On the other hand, the multiplication in the cobar complex $\mathrm{Hom}(B_*(k, \mathfrak{A}, k), k)$ is gotten by juxtaposition of tensors. Tracing this through the isomorphisms above, this is precisely the cellular operation corresponding to the little disks multiplication.

□

4.4 An example: the configuration space of the plane

In the case of the trivial coefficients $V = k$, the previous construction recovers the homologies[6] of $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as n ranges over $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In this case, the quantum shuffle algebra $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ is generated (as a k-module) by the classes $x_n = [1|1|\dots|1]$, where there are n occurrences of 1.

Proposition 4.6. *The algebra $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda[x_1] \otimes \Gamma[x_2]$.*

Here, $\Gamma[x_2]$ is the divided power algebra generated by x_2. It is generated additively by the classes x_{2n}, subject to the relations

$$x_{2m} \star x_{2n} = \binom{m+n}{n} x_{2m+2n}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. As in Definition 4.2, $x_m \star x_n = c_{m,n} x_{m+n}$, where

$$c_{m,n} = \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{|\tau|}$$

where the sum is over shuffles $\tau : \{1, \dots, m\} \sqcup \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, m+n\}$. This is evidently commutative, and it is easy to see that

$$c_{1,m} = c_{m,1} = \begin{cases} 1, & m \text{ is even} \\ 0, & m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

[6]The results of this and the next section are not needed for the proof of our main result, Theorem 7.8, but are instructive on how to approach these computations.

Thus $x_1^2 = 0$ and $x_{2n+1} = x_1 \star x_{2n}$. The result then follows from the fact that $c_{2m,2n} = \binom{m+n}{n}$, which can be shown via a straightforward recursion, or using Proposition 4.9. □

The following is then immediate:

Corollary 4.7. *For $\mathfrak{A} = \Lambda[x_1] \otimes \Gamma[x_2]$, there is an isomorphism of k-algebras*

$$H_*(\coprod_n \mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}); k) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k). \tag{4.5}$$

In this and the next section, we will frequently encounter the cohomology of a divided power algebra $\Gamma[x_m]$ on a generator in degree m. We recall that in characteristic zero, $\Gamma[x_m] \cong k[x_m]$, so

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\Gamma[x_m]}(k,k) = \Lambda[z_m] \tag{4.6}$$

is an exterior algebra on a generator $z_m \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,m}$.

Taking k to be a field of characteristic p, it is well-known that there is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma[x_m] = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{\infty} P_p[x_{mp^i}]$$

where $P_p[z] = k[z]/z^p$ is the truncated polynomial algebra on a single generator. If $p = 2$, this is an exterior algebra, so we obtain $\Gamma[x_m] \cong \Lambda[x_m, x_{2m}, \dots, x_{m2^i}, \dots]$. Then the cohomology is a polynomial algebra

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\Gamma[x_m]}(k,k) \cong k[y_m, y_{2m}, \dots, y_{m2^i}, \dots], \tag{4.7}$$

where $y_{m2^i} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,m2^i}$. Finally, in odd characteristic, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\Gamma[x_m]}(k,k) = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{\infty} \Lambda[z_{mp^i}] \otimes k[y_{mp^{i+1}}], \tag{4.8}$$

where $y_{mp^{i+1}} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{2,mp^{i+1}}$ and $z_{mp^i} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,mp^i}$ come from the factor $\mathrm{Ext}_{P_p[x_{mp^i}]}(k,k)$.

We use these computations and the previous corollary to understand the cohomology of configuration spaces. Throughout, the tensor factor of $\Lambda[x_1]$ in $\mathfrak{A} = \Lambda[x_1] \otimes \Gamma[x_2]$ will produce a tensor factor of $k[y_1]$ in Ext, where $y_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,1}$. In characteristic zero, we then have

$$H_*(\coprod_n \mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}); k) \cong k[y_1] \otimes \Lambda[z_2].$$

Topologically, $y_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,1}$ is a 0-dimensional homology class coming from $\mathrm{Conf}_1(\mathbb{C})$, and $z_2 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,2}$ is a 1-dimensional class coming from $\mathrm{Conf}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Then $H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}); k)$ is generated by y_1^n (of dimension 0) and $y_1^{n-2}z_2$ (of dimension 1). This reflects the known result that $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is contractible when $n = 0, 1$, and has the rational homology of a circle when $n > 1$. We note that the stabilisation map $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Conf}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ which adds a point near infinity is given by multiplication by y_1. From the above computations, this is an isomorphism in H_i with $i \neq 1$ for every n. In H_1, it is evidently an isomorphism as long as $n > 1$.

In characteristic $p = 2$, we similarly have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathfrak{A}}(k, k) \cong k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2^i}, \dots],$$

Topologically, y_{2^i} is a class $y_{2^i} \in H_{2^i-1}(\mathrm{Conf}_{2^i}(\mathbb{C}); k)$.

The approximation theorem gives us a map $\coprod_n \mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \Omega^2 S^2$, and the group completion theorem implies that the homology of the codomain is obtained from that of the domain by inverting π_0. However, a generator of $\pi_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is given by y_1, so

$$H_*(\Omega^2 S^2; k) \cong k[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2^i}, \dots][y_1^{-1}].$$

This is a familiar computation (see, e.g., [CLM76]); one may identify $y_{2^i} = Q_1(y_{2^{i-1}})$ in terms of iterates of the first (and only) Kudo-Araki-Dyer-Lashof operation applied to y_1.

One may obtain a proof of the (well-known) homological stability in this setting, too. We note that a monomial

$$y_1^{e_1} y_2^{e_2} \cdots y_m^{e_m} \in H_q(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}); k) = \mathrm{Ext}^{n-q,n}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k, k)$$

precisely when $\sum e_i = n - q$, and $\sum e_i 2^i = n$. It is apparent from this description that stabilisation (multiplication by y_1) is always injective. Let us show that stabilisation $H_q(\mathrm{Conf}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}); k) \to H_q(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}); k)$ is surjective when $q < \frac{n}{2}$. In this case, $\sum e_i > \frac{n}{2}$. However, if $e_1 = 0$, then $\sum e_i 2^i \geq \sum e_i 2 > n$, a contradiction. Thus $e_1 > 0$, and so multiplication by y_1 is surjective. A similar analysis in odd characteristics is left to the reader.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that the ring $H^*(\Omega S^2; k)$ is isomorphic to the quantum shuffle algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ for any base field k. The dual Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{H^*(\Omega S^2; k)}(k, k) \implies H_*(\Omega^2 S^2; k)$$

does not converge, as $\Omega^2 S^2$ is not connected. Rather, equation (4.5) tells us that the (collapsing) spectral sequence computes the homology of the disjoint union of the configuration spaces. This result, along with the group completion theorem provides a sort of replacement for the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence.

4.5 A second example: The quantum divided power algebra

As in the previous section, we will take the braided vector space $V = k$ to be rank one, but equip V with a nontrivial braiding. Specifically, for $q \in k^\times$, we equip $V \otimes V = k$ with the braiding that is given by multiplication by q. Then for each $n > 0$ and $1 \leq i < n$,

$$\sigma_i \mapsto q \text{ in the representation } B_n \to \mathrm{GL}(V^{\otimes n}) = k^\times.$$

In unpublished work, Marshall Smith computed the structure of the quantum shuffle algebra for this braided vector space, as well as its cohomology. The same results had been obtained previously by Callegaro in slightly different language [Cal06]. We summarize these results here.

Definition 4.8. The *quantum divided power algebra* $\Gamma_q[x]$ associated to $q \in k^\times$ is additively generated by elements x_n in degree n, equipped with the product

$$x_n \star x_m := \binom{n+m}{m}_q x_{n+m}$$

where the quantum binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\binom{a}{b}_q = \frac{[a]_q[a-1]_q \cdots [a-b+1]_q}{[b]_q[b-1]_q \cdots [1]_q}; \text{ here } [r]_q = \frac{1-q^r}{1-q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{r-1}$$

Proposition 4.9. *There is an isomorphism of graded rings $\Gamma_q[x] \to \mathfrak{A}(V)$ which carries the class x_n to $[1|1|\ldots|1]$, where there are n occurrences of 1.*

Proof. This amounts to the well-known fact (see, e.g., Prop. 1.7.1 of [Sta12]) that one may obtain $\binom{n+m}{m}_q$ via the weighted sum over all (m,n)-shuffles τ of the expression $q^{cr(\tau)}$, where $cr(\tau)$ is the number of crossings in τ.

□

The following is essentially identical to Lemma 3.4 of [Cal06]:

Proposition 4.10. *If q is not a root of unity in k, then there is an isomorphism $\Gamma_q[x] \cong k[x_1]$. If q is a primitive m^{th} root of unity, then*

$$\Gamma_q[x] = k[x_1]/x_1^m \otimes \Gamma[x_m].$$

If we include the sign twist ϵ, we have $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon) = \Gamma_{-q}[x]$, so

$$H_j(B_n, V^{\otimes n}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\Gamma_{-q}[x]}(k,k).$$

We may compute this as follows:

1. If $-q$ is not a root of unity in k,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\Gamma_{-q}[x]}(k,k) = \Lambda[z_1]$$

is an exterior algebra on a generator z_1 of topological degree 0, in filtration 1. That is,

$$H_j(B_n, V^{\otimes n}) = \begin{cases} k, & j = 0, \text{ and } n = 0, 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. If $q = 1$, this is the homology of the braid groups with trivial coefficients, as in the previous section.

3. If $q = -1$, $\Gamma_{-q}[x] = \Gamma[x_1]$ is the divided power algebra on a generator in degree 1. If k has characteristic 0, this is isomorphic to $k[x_1]$, with the same cohomology as in the first case. In positive characteristic p, the cohomology of this algebra was computed in (4.7) and (4.8) with $m = 1$.

4. If $-q$ is a primitive m^{th} root of unity in k, where $m > 2$, then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\Gamma_{-q}[x]}(k,k) = \Lambda[z_1] \otimes k[y_m] \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{\Gamma[x_m]}(k,k) \tag{4.9}$$

where z_1 has topological degree 0, filtration 1, and y_m has topological degree $m-2$, filtration m. The cohomology of $\Gamma[x_m]$ is described in the previous section.

One important subtlety which is hidden in the presentation of (4.9) as a tensor product is that the tensor factors do not actually commute as usual in cohomology, but rather in a braided sense. More specifically, it was shown in [MPSW10] that the cohomology of a braided Hopf algebra is a braided commutative algebra: cohomology classes α and β satisfy

$$\alpha\beta = \mu(\sigma(\alpha \otimes \beta)),$$

where μ is multiplication, and σ the braiding in our category. In the case at hand, this braiding is given by multiplication by powers of $\pm q$, and depends upon cobar complex representatives of α and β. However, we will see in this example that the only departure from graded commutativity is via altered signs.

We note that the cobar complex $B^*(A)$ which computes $\mathrm{Ext}_A(k,k)$ can be presented as the tensor algebra $T(\Sigma I)$ on the shift of the augmentation ideal $I \subseteq A^*$. The braiding on A^* is dual to that on A, and the braiding on the shift ΣI the negative of the braiding on I. This is extended multiplicatively to the tensor algebra[7].

[7] See section 6.1 for more details on this.

For instance, when $-q$ is a primitive m^{th} root of unity, cobar complex representatives for z_1 and y_m are dual to $[x_1]$ and $[x_1^{m-1}|x_1]$, respectively. Incorporating the shifts, we have

$$\sigma([x_1] \otimes [x_1^{m-1}|x_1]) = (-1)^{1\cdot 2}(-q)^{1\cdot m}[x_1^{m-1}|x_1] \otimes [x_1] = [x_1^{m-1}|x_1] \otimes [x_1]$$

so z_1 and y_m do in fact commute. However, there is also a class $z_m \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\Gamma[x_m]}(k,k)$ of topological degree $m-1$ and filtration m; it is represented by a class dual to $[x_m]$. Then z_1 and z_m anticommute:

$$\sigma([x_1] \otimes [x_m]) = (-1)^{1\cdot 1}(-1)^{1\cdot m}[x_m] \otimes [x_1] = -[x_m] \otimes [x_1]$$

which may be surprising, given that the topological dimension of z_1 is 0.

4.6 The ring R

Continue to let $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ be the quantum shuffle algebra associated to the sign twist V_ϵ of a braided vector space V. Then define R to be the diagonal subalgebra

$$R := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$$

of the cohomology. Notice that we may make k into an R-module via the augmentation $R \to k = \mathrm{Ext}^{0,0}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$. We will refer to R as the *ring of coinvariants* or *ring of components* associated to V, courtesy of the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k) \cong H_0(B_n, V^{\otimes n})$$

of Corollary 4.5.

Definition 4.11. For $n \geq 0$, let $r(n) := \mathrm{rk}(R_n)$.

We will see in Proposition 5.3 that when V is a Yetter-Drinfeld module, $r(n)$ grows polynomially as a function of n, and that its degree is bounded by $\#G \cdot \mathrm{rk}(V) - 1$. This bound is almost certainly not optimal, as can be seen in a number of cases.

R may also be constructed as the diagonal cohomology of a number of other algebras:

Lemma 4.12. *For any graded algebra A with $A_1 = V_\epsilon$ and relations amongst these generators in degree 2 the same as in $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$, $\mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_A(k,k) \cong R_n$. Further, if A is Koszul, $\mathrm{Ext}_A(k,k) \cong R$.*

Notice that the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon) \leq \mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ is a minimal algebra with such generators and relations in degrees 1 and 2.

Proof. In the bar complex for $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$, the only contribution to the diagonal bidegree (n,n) must come from classes of the form $[a_1|\dots|a_n]$ for $a_i \in V_\epsilon = \mathfrak{A}_1$. Further, $\operatorname{Ext}^{n,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$ can be written as the cokernel of a differential of the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2} (\mathfrak{A}_1^*)^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2^* \otimes (\mathfrak{A}_1^*)^{\otimes n-2-i} \to (\mathfrak{A}_1^*)^{\otimes n}.$$

Thus the cohomology in this bidegree is entirely computed on any algebra A of the form indicated.

The second statement follows immediately from the first, and from what it means for A to be Koszul.

□

5 Cohomological growth

The purpose of this section is to explore the growth rate of various (bi-)graded modules associated a braided vector space V. While some of these arguments work for arbitrary braided vector spaces, our focus will be on Yetter-Drinfeld modules for a finite group G. This assumption allows us to show in Proposition 5.3 that the associated ring R of components has polynomial growth. In section 5.3 we will formulate several hypotheses on the growth of the cohomology of the quantum shuffle and Nichols algebras associated to V.

5.1 Braided commutative algebras

An algebra A in $\mathcal{YD}_G^G$ is *braided commutative* if $\mu = \mu \circ \sigma$, where μ is its multiplication and σ the braiding in $\mathcal{YD}_G^G$. In this section we examine the growth of free braided commutative algebras.

Definition 5.1. Let V be a Yetter-Drinfeld module for a group G. The *free braided commutative algebra* generated by V is

$$\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (V^{\otimes n})_{B_n}$$

where $(V^{\otimes n})_{B_n}$ indicates the coinvariants for the B_n-action.

It is straightforward to verify that this does indeed have the requisite universal property: if A is a braided commutative algebra, then there is a natural bijection between maps $V \to A$ in $\mathcal{YD}_G^G$, and algebra maps $\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}} V \to A$.

Notice that the terms in the free braided commutative algebra may be identified in terms of either braid group homology or the cohomology of the quantum shuffle algebra $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$:

$$(V^{\otimes n})_{B_n} = V^{\otimes n} \otimes_{k[B_n]} k = H_0(B_n, V^{\otimes n}) = \mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$$

so we may conclude:

Proposition 5.2. *There is a ring isomorphism $R \cong \mathrm{Sym}_{br}(V)$.*

While the notion of braided commutativity is generally distinct from commutativity in the usual sense, many familiar facts do carry over in this setting. For instance, if I is a left ideal in R, it is also a right ideal: if $i \in I$ and $r \in R$, then

$$i \cdot r = {}^i r \cdot i \in I, \tag{5.10}$$

where ${}^i r = {}^g r$ for $i \in I_g$, the summand indexed by $g \in G$. Similarly, free braided commutative algebras have polynomial growth:

Proposition 5.3. *Let V be a finite dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld module for a finite group G, and let d be the size of a minimal G-invariant generating set $c \subseteq V$. Then the rank $r(n) = \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V)_n$ is bounded by $\binom{n+d-1}{n}$. In particular, the rank of $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V)_n$ grows no faster than a polynomial $p(n)$ of degree $d-1$.*

We note that if V is a permutation representation of G, then c may be taken to be a basis, in which case $d = \mathrm{rk}(V)$. In general $d \leq \#G \cdot \mathrm{rk}(V)$: if b is an arbitrary basis of V, then $G \cdot b$ is a G-invariant generating set for V.

Proof. We let $c \subseteq V$ be a generating set which is invariant under the action of G. Then $V^{\otimes n}$ is generated by $c^{\times n}$. Notice that B_n acts on $c^{\times n}$ via the Yetter-Drinfeld structure:

$$\sigma_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_i^{x_{i+1}}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_n)$$

This remains in $c^{\times n}$ since $x_i^{x_{i+1}} \in G \cdot x_i \subseteq c$. The orbits $c^{\times n}/B_n$ then descend to a generating set of $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V)_n = (V^{\otimes n})_{B_n}$.

Pick a total ordering $<$ on c, entirely arbitrarily. We claim that a generating set for $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V)_n$ is given by monomials of the form $v_1 v_2 \ldots v_n$ where $v_i \in c$ and $v_i \geq v_{i+1}$. This then gives the claimed bound in rank by comparison to the rank of the space of polynomials in d variables of degree n.

Certainly $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V)_n$ is generated by monomials of the indicated form without the ordering requirement. However, we may inductively replace an unordered monomial with one in which the v_i are ordered using braided commutativity. Specifically, if $v_1 \cdots v_n$ is a monomial of length n in c, let $\mathcal{O}$ be the orbit of $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ in $c^{\times n}$ under B_n. This is a finite set; let $S \subseteq c$ be the subset of all of the basis elements which appear at some

point in an element of $\mathcal{O}$. Now S has a maximum element, W, which appears in a word $(w_1, \ldots, w_{i-1}, W, w_{i+1}, \ldots w_n) \in \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$v_1 \cdots v_n = w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} W w_{i+1} \cdots w_n = W w_1^W \cdots w_{i-1}^W w_{i+1} \cdots w_n.$$

Now induct; by construction, no element in the B_{n-1}-orbit of $(w_1^W, \ldots, w_{i-1}^W, w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_n)$ contains an element larger than W.

□

5.2 Growth rates for (braided) algebras

Let A be a graded, augmented k-algebra. The following definitions are taken from [KKM83]:

Definition 5.4. Let $g_j = g_j(A) := \mathrm{rk}_k A_j$. We will say that A has (finite) *Gelfand-Kirillov dimension* d if

$$d = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\ln(g_j)}{\ln(j)}.$$

If this limit is infinite, we say that A has *intermediate growth* if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$C = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\ln(g_j)}{j^\alpha}.$$

If this limit does not exist for any $\alpha < 1$, but does for $\alpha = 1$, we say that A has *exponential growth.* If no such $\alpha \leq 1$ exists, we say that A has *super-exponential growth.*

When A has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, we (abusively) include this as a special case of intermediate growth, with constant $C = 0$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Although these definitions are formulated for algebras A, they make sense for any graded k-vector space. If V_* is a graded Yetter-Drinfeld module, we may form the free braided commutative algebra $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V_*)$. This may be regarded as a bigraded algebra in $\mathcal{YD}_G^G$; however, we will focus on the single grading where, for a monomial

$$\deg(x_1 \cdots x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i|,$$

where $|x_i|$ is the degree of $x_i \in V_*$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let V_* be concentrated in positive degrees. Then V_* has intermediate growth if and only if* $\mathrm{Sym}_{br}(V_*)$ *does. Furthermore, if $|G|$ is invertible in k, a braided commutative algebra A_* generated in positive degrees has intermediate growth if and only if its module $Q(A_*)$ of indecomposables does.*

Proof. Theorem 1 of [KKM83] shows that the free commutative algebra $\mathrm{Sym}(W_*)$ on a positively graded vector space W_* is of intermediate growth if and only if W_* is. Proposition 5.3 implies that the growth rate of $\mathrm{Sym}_{br}(V_*)$ is bounded by the growth rate of $\mathrm{Sym}(k[G] \otimes V_*)$, which gives first claim. The second claim follows from the first if we can show that for braided commutative A_*, there is a surjection $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(Q(A_*)) \to A_*$.

This is not entirely obvious; while it is certainly possible to find a section $Q(A_*) \to I$ of the quotient map from the augmentation ideal to the indecomposables which is a map of graded vector spaces, arranging for this to be a map of Yetter-Drinfeld modules takes a little work. Once this is established, the claim will follow. First, pick a splitting on the level of k-vector spaces $s_0 : Q(A)_j \to A_j$. Now, $Q(A)_j = \oplus_g Q(A)_{j,g}$ and $A_j = \oplus_g A_{j,g}$, so $Q(A)_j$ has a basis which is homogeneous with respect to decomposition into summands indexed by $g \in G$. Thus it is certainly possible to pick s_0 in a fashion which respects that decomposition.

Then, from the surjective map of G-representations $A_j \to Q(A)_j$, the proof of Maschke's theorem provides an equivariant splitting. Specifically, we define

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} s_0(x^{g^{-1}})^g,$$

then $s : Q(A) \to A$ is a $k[G]$-module map. Since s_0 respects the decomposition of the domain and codomain over $g \in G$, so too does s; thus s is a Yetter-Drinfeld map. □

We also need to address the growth of tensor algebras, following [KKM83]. Let S be a ring, let M_* be a graded S-module supported in positive grades (where S itself has grade 0), and suppose M_n has a set of S-module generators of cardinality r_n.

Proposition 5.6. *If there exists a $C > 0$ with $r_n \leq DC^n$, then the degree n part of the tensor algebra $(T_S M)_n$ has a set of S-module generators of cardinality at most $[(D+1)C]^n$.*

Proof. Clearly, $(T_S M)_n$ is generated by tensors of the form

$$m_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes m_m$$

where $m_i \in M_{a_i}$ is one of the r_{a_i} specified generators and $\sum_i a_i = n$. Write P_M for the Poincare series

$$P_M(t) = \sum r_k t^k.$$

By a standard generating function argument, the number of such tensors is given by the t^n coefficient of P_M^m. Summing over all m, we find that the S-module $(T_S M)_n$ is generated by a set Σ_n whose cardinality is at most the t^n coefficient of

$$1 + P_M + P_M^2 = \ldots = (1 - P_M)^{-1}.$$

Our hypothesis on M tells us that $P_M(t)$ is bounded above (coefficientwise) by $DCt + DC^2t^2 + \ldots = DCt(1-Ct)^{-1}$. Thus $|\Sigma_n|$ is bounded above by the t^n coefficient of

$$(1 - \frac{DCt}{1-Ct})^{-1} = \frac{1-Ct}{1-(D+1)Ct} \leq \frac{1}{1-(D+1)Ct} = \sum [(D+1)C]^n t^n.$$

□

5.3 Conjectures on cohomological growth

In this section, we present several related hypotheses on the growth rate of the cohomology of quantum shuffle and Nichols algebras, as well as presenting examples where these hypotheses do and do not hold. The results of section 7 will relate these hypotheses to Malle's conjecture in the function field setting.

These questions are related to the results of Section 5.2 via the following result [MPSW10]:

Theorem 5.7 (Mastnak-Pevtsova-Schauenberg-Witherspoon)**.** *If A is a braided Hopf algebra, then* $\mathrm{Ext}_A(k,k)$ *is a braided commutative algebra.*

Some setup is required. We will write $\mathrm{Ext} = \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k)$, and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^j := \bigoplus_{n=j}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k)$$

for the sub-diagonal component of Ext. We will call the difference j the *topological grading* (this term is explained by Corollary 4.5). Then $R = \mathrm{Ext}^0$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^j = 0$ if $j < 0$, since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a connected algebra. Notice that with the topological grading, Ext becomes a graded braided commutative algebra. This commutativity implies that

$$\mathrm{Ext} /\!/ R := \mathrm{Ext} \otimes_R k \cong k \otimes_R \mathrm{Ext} \otimes_R k$$

is the quotient[8] of Ext by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements of R_n for $n > 0$. Since R is concentrated in topological degree 0, the topological grading descends to $\mathrm{Ext} /\!/ R$.

It is often the case that Ext^j has infinite rank as a k-module, since this is often true for $\mathrm{Ext}^0 = R$, and Ext^j is an R-module. We may nonetheless show:

Proposition 5.8. *If $|G|$ is invertible in k, the following are equivalent:*

[8]This quotient was studied in section 4 of [EVW16] in the case that $\mathrm{Ext} = H_* \mathrm{Hur}^c_G$ is the homology of the Hurwitz moduli space of branched covers of the affine line.

1. $\mathrm{Ext}\,/\!/R$ *is a locally finite* k*-algebra (with respect to the topological grading), and of intermediate growth.*

2. $Q(\mathrm{Ext})$ *is a locally finite Yetter-Drinfeld module (with respect to the topological grading), and of intermediate growth.*

3. *The number of generators* g_j *of* Ext^j *as an* R*-module is finite, and there exist constants* $C > 0$ *and* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *such that*

$$C = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\ln(g_j)}{j^\alpha}.$$

Proof. The equivalence of the first and third statements is definitional. Proposition 5.5 establishes that the first statement is equivalent to the claim that $Q(\mathrm{Ext}\,/\!/R)$ is of intermediate growth. However, since R is finitely generated (being isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V)$), this is true if and only if $Q(\mathrm{Ext})$ is of intermediate growth.

□

Hypothesis 5.9 (Intermediate Growth)**.** The equivalent assumptions of Proposition 5.8 hold for $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathfrak{A}(V)}(k,k)$.

We do not know at the moment a necessary condition to place on V to ensure that this hypothesis holds. As we saw in sections 4.4 and 4.5, it does hold when V has rank one; in fact, in characteristic zero, these cohomology algebras have finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. In contrast, if V has rank $r > 1$, and the braiding is the symmetry $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$, the cohomology has exponential growth. Here, $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ is the classical shuffle algebra on V. Radford has shown that in characteristic 0, this shuffle algebra is the graded commutative algebra generated by the free Lie algebra on V [Rad79]; since $\mathrm{Lie}(V)$ has exponential growth when $\mathrm{rk}\, V > 1$, so too will $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k)$.

A weaker hypothesis is that of exponential growth of the cohomology of $\mathfrak{A}$:

Hypothesis 5.10 (Exponential growth)**.** Ext^j is a subquotient of an R-module of finite rank g_j, and there exists a constant C such that

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \frac{\ln(g_j)}{j} \leq C.$$

Note that Ext^j certainly satisfies Hypothesis 5.10 (with $C = 0$) whenever it satisfies Hypothesis 5.9. Thus this can really be thought of as "at worst" exponential growth.

Using the spectral sequences in Section 3.3, we may get at the cohomology of the quantum shuffle algebra if we understand that of the Nichols algebra. Restating the previous hypothesis for $\mathfrak{B}$ in place of $\mathfrak{A}$:

Hypothesis 5.11. $\operatorname{Ext}^j_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a subquotient of an R-module of finite rank $g_j^{\mathfrak{B}}$, and there exists a constant $C_{\mathfrak{B}}$ such that

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \frac{\ln(g_j^{\mathfrak{B}})}{j} \leq C_{\mathfrak{B}}$$

A conjecture of Etingof-Ostrik [EO04] states that the cohomology of a finite dimensional (braided) Hopf algebra is finitely generated. If true, this would imply Hypothesis 5.11 in the case that $\mathfrak{B}$ is finite dimensional, since finitely generated braided-commutative algebras have polynomial growth. In fact, it would be sufficient (by Proposition 5.8) to arrange for the space of indecomposables of cohomology of $\mathfrak{B}$ to have intermediate growth.

It is known that $\mathfrak{B}$ is finite dimensional for all Nichols algebras of Cartan type whose associated Cartan matrix is of finite type [AS00]. Etingof-Ostrik's conjecture was recently verified for these Nichols algebras in [MPSW10]. Additionally, in the rack setting, $\mathfrak{B}$ has been shown to be finite dimensional in several instances (e.g., the Fomin-Kirillov algebra $\mathcal{E}_n$ for $n < 6$, and conjecturally for all n). The cohomology of $\mathcal{E}_3$ has been explicitly computed in [ŞV16]; it is indeed finitely generated.

Theorem 5.12. *Hypothesis 5.11 implies Hypothesis 5.10 with* $C \leq 2(C_{\mathfrak{B}} + \ln(2\operatorname{rk}_k V))$.

Proof. Theorem 4 of [May66] gives a (tri-graded) spectral sequence in Ext for a filtered algebra:

$$\bigoplus_p \operatorname{Ext}^{n,p,q}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(k,k) \implies \operatorname{Ext}^{n,q}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k)$$

We will suppress the filtration grading p, and write $\operatorname{Ext}^{n,q}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(k,k)$ for the E_2 term of this spectral sequence, summing over p. Proposition 3.7 gives a change of rings spectral sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{n,q}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k, \operatorname{Ext}^{n',q'}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k)) \implies \operatorname{Ext}^{n+n',q+q'}_{\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}}(k,k).$$

Since $\mathfrak{B}$ is a connected algebra, we may obtain a spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,M)$ (for a $\mathfrak{B}$-module M) by filtering by degree. In the case that $M = \operatorname{Ext}^{n',q'}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k)$, this is of the form

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{n,q}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,k) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^{n',q'}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k) \implies \operatorname{Ext}^{n,q}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k, \operatorname{Ext}^{n',q'}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k))$$

In summary, these three spectral sequences imply that $\operatorname{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathfrak{A}}(k,k)$ is an (iterated) subquotient of $\operatorname{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,k) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k)$. In particular Ext^j is a subquotient of

$$\bigoplus_{n=j}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{a+b=n-j} \bigoplus_{c+d=n} \operatorname{Ext}^{a,c}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,k) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^{b,d}_{\mathfrak{E}}(k,k).$$

All of these are spectral sequences of algebras, and hence of R-modules; here R acts by multiplication (by permanent cycles) on the first tensor factor.

Notice that $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{gr}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ agree in degree ≤ 1; thus $\mathfrak{E}$ is generated in degree 2 and higher. Examining the bar complex for $\mathfrak{E}$, we see that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{E}}^{b,d}(k,k)$ can only be nonzero when $d \geq 2b$. For $\mathfrak{B}$, we note additionally that only when $c \geq a$ is $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{a,c}(k,k)$ nonzero. Therefore the only contribution to the sum above occurs when $b \leq j$:

$$b = n - j - a = (c+d) - j - a = (c-a) + d - j \geq d - j \geq 2b - j,$$

which gives $b \leq j$. So our sum is in fact

$$\bigoplus_{n=j}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{b=0}^{j} \bigoplus_{c+d=n} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{n-j-b,c}(k,k) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{E}}^{b,d}(k,k)$$

Since $c \geq a = n - j - b$, we can bound d as well:

$$d = n - c \leq n - a = n - (n - j - b) = j + b \leq 2j.$$

This shows that Ext^j is a subquotient of

$$\bigoplus_{n=j}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{b=0}^{j} \bigoplus_{d=0}^{2j} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{n-j-b,n-d}(k,k) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{E}}^{b,d}(k,k)$$

Rewrite this as follows: let $m = n - j - b$, so that $n - d = m + j + b - d$, to get

$$\bigoplus_{b=0}^{j} \bigoplus_{d=0}^{2j} \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{m,m+j+b-d}(k,k) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{E}}^{b,d}(k,k)$$

Hypothesis 5.11 implies that the first tensor factor (when summed over m) is a subquotient of an R-module whose number $g_{j+b-d}^{\mathfrak{B}}$ of generators is asymptotically bounded above by $C_{\mathfrak{B}}^{j+b-d}$. In particular, since $j + b - d$ takes its maximum value of $2j$ with $b = j$, $d = 0$, all of these are asymptotically bounded by $g_{2j}^{\mathfrak{B}}$.

The second tensor factor is the finite dimensional k-vector space $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{E}}^{b,d}(k,k)$, whose rank is bounded by that of the bar complex for $\mathfrak{E}$ and thus that of $\mathfrak{A}$. In bidegree (b,d), that rank is

$$\mathrm{rk}_k\, B_b(k,\mathfrak{A},k)[d] = \#\{\text{ordered partitions of } d \text{ into } b \text{ parts}\} \cdot (\mathrm{rk}_k\, V)^d = \binom{d-1}{b-1} \cdot (\mathrm{rk}_k\, V)^d$$

Summing over $b \in [0, j]$ and $d \in [0, 2j]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rk}_k \left(\bigoplus_{b=0}^{j} \bigoplus_{d=0}^{2j} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{b,d}(k,k) \right) &\leq \sum_{b=0}^{j} \sum_{d=0}^{2j} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \cdot (\operatorname{rk}_k V)^d \\
&\leq \sum_{b=0}^{d} \sum_{d=0}^{2j} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \cdot (\operatorname{rk}_k V)^d \\
&= \sum_{d=0}^{2j} 2^d \cdot (\operatorname{rk}_k V)^d \\
&< (2 \operatorname{rk}_k V)^{2j+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore Ext^j is a subquotient of a free R-module of whose rank g_j satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{\ln(g_j)}{j} &\leq \limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{\ln(g_{2j}^{\mathfrak{B}} (2 \operatorname{rk}_k V)^{2j+1})}{j} \\
&= \limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{\ln(g_{2j}^{\mathfrak{B}}) + (2j+1) \ln(2 \operatorname{rk}_k V)}{j} \\
&= 2C_{\mathfrak{B}} + 2 \ln(2 \operatorname{rk}_k V)
\end{aligned}$$

□

6 The cohomology of Nichols algebras

The purpose of this section is to establish tools to bound the growth of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,k)$ as an R-module, specifically to prove Hypothesis 5.11 for certain classes of Nichols algebras of rack type. To do this, we first show in Theorem 6.2 that it is possible to present the cobar complex $B^{*,*}(\mathfrak{B})$ (whose cohomology is $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,k)$) via a minimal model $(T_R W, d)$ regarded as an associative R-algebra. This model is a tensor algebra (over R) on a free R-module W, and the differential d is assumed to carry generators to elements which are decomposable with respect to the R-algebra structure on $T_R W$.

The space W of R-algebra generators of $T_R W$ then controls the growth rate of this algebra. We adapt the usual notion of the Koszul complex of a quadratic algebra in section 6.3 and show that the homology of the Koszul complex computes $k \otimes_R W$ in Corollary 6.6. Thus we are reduced to bounding the growth rate of the homology of the Koszul complex. In section 6.4 we study the Koszul complex for Nichols algebras in general, and in sections 6.5 and 6.6 we narrow our focus to Nichols algebras coming from racks, the case relevant to the homology of Hurwitz spaces. Using a variant on

the Conway-Parker/Fried-Völklein theorem [FV91], we show that the Koszul complex for these Nichols algebras do grow at worst exponentially, yielding at worst exponential growth of the generators W, and thereby proving Hypothesis 5.11 for these Nichols algebras.

6.1 Bigrading the bar complex of a graded algebra

Let $A = A_*$ be an augmented, graded algebra. In this section, we will set up some conventions for the grading of its bar complex, following [LPWZ08]. That bar complex is bigraded; to obtain the bigrading naturally, we will equip A with a bigrading where A_q is concentrated in bidegree $(0, q)$. Generally, if $X_{*,*}$ is a bigraded object, its dual $X^* = \mathrm{Hom}(X_*, k)$ is bigraded with the opposite sign:

$$\deg(X^*_{p,q}) = (-p, -q).$$

Further, bidegree is bi-additive on tensor products.

The bar complex on a bigraded, augmented algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the tensor coalgebra on the augmentation ideal $I = \ker(\epsilon : \mathcal{A} \to k)$, desuspended in the first coordinate: $B_{*,*}(\mathcal{A}) := T^{co}(\Sigma^{(-1,0)} I)$. In practice, this means that for $a_i \in I$, we have

$$\deg([a_1|\cdots|a_p]) = (-p + \sum_i \deg_1(a_i), \sum_i \deg_2(a_i)).$$

The dual of the bar complex of $\mathcal{A}$ is the tensor algebra on I^*, suspended in the first coordinate:

$$B^{*,*}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathrm{Hom}(B_{*,*}(\mathcal{A}), k) \cong T(\Sigma^{(1,0)} I^*).$$

We will often call $B^{*,*}(\mathcal{A})$ the *cobar complex*, as it is isomorphic to the cobar complex of the coalgebra $\mathcal{A}^*$. The multiplication arising from the tensor algebra structure makes the cobar complex into a differential bigraded algebra. In the special case that $\mathcal{A} = A$ is graded only in the second variable, and $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(A_{q_i}, k)$, we have

$$\deg([\alpha_1|\cdots|\alpha_p]) = (p, -\sum_i q_i).$$

The homology of the cobar complex $B^{*,*}(A)$ is $\mathrm{Ext}_A(k, k)$. We should note that our convention is that $\mathrm{Ext}_A^{p,q}(k, k)$ is computed as the homology of $B^{p,-q}(A)$. This is to match up degrees the way that we have used them in previous sections, such as in Corollary 4.5, and ensures that if A is non-negatively graded, then $\mathrm{Ext}_A^{*,*}(k, k)$ is also non-negatively bigraded.

Finally, we note that if A satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4.12 (e.g, if A is the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$), then the ring R of components is concentrated in antidiagonal bidegrees:

$$R_n = \mathrm{Ext}_A^{n,n}(k, k) = H^{n,-n}(B^{*,*}(A)).$$

6.2 Associative minimal models for DGAs

Let R be a connected, graded, augmented k-algebra, and let $B = (B^{*,*}, \delta)$ be a differential bigraded R-algebra[9]. We will assume that the R-action is antidiagonally graded: it is given by a map

$$R_n \otimes B^{p,q} \to B^{p+n,q-n}.$$

Equivalently, we may regard R as being bigraded, and concentrated in antidiagonal bidegrees $(*, -*)$. Further, we will assume that B is augmented over R, via a bigraded ring map $\epsilon : B \to R$. Let us define the *topological degree* of an element $x \in B^{p,q}$ to be

$$\text{topdeg}(x) = -p - q.$$

In the case that B is the cobar complex of $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$, Corollary 4.5 justifies this definition; a homology class in topological degree j corresponds to an element of $H_j(B_q, V^{\otimes q})$. We will say that B is *connected as an R-algebra* if $H^{*,*}(B)$ is concentrated in non-negative topological degree, and in topological degree 0 is isomorphic to R.

An *associative model* for B is a bigraded quasi-isomorphism

$$(T_R W, d) \to (B^{*,*}, \delta)$$

where:

- $W = W^{*,*}$ is a free, bigraded left R-module of positive topological degree.
- $T_R W$ is the tensor algebra over R on W and d is a derivation.
- There is an R-module filtration $W(0) \subseteq W(1) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W$ of W with the property that
$$d : W(k) \to T_R(W(k-1)), \text{ and } d = 0 \text{ on } W(0).$$

This is a *minimal model* if d also satisfies the minimality assumption: the composite

$$W \xrightarrow{\subseteq} T_R^{>0} W \xrightarrow{d} T_R^{>0} W \longrightarrow T_R^{>0} W / (T_R^{>0} W)^2 = W \longrightarrow k \otimes_R W$$

is 0.

Here $W^{*,*}$ has the same grading convention as $B^{*,*}$ for the R-action. The bigrading on its tensor algebra is gotten in the usual way, extending the bigrading on $W^{*,*}$ by $\deg(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \deg(\alpha) + \deg(\beta)$. Further, $T_R^{>0} W$ denotes the R-augmentation ideal of tensors of length at least 1. We insist that the quasi-isomorphism carries the natural augmentation

$$T_R W \to T_R W / T_R^{>0} W \cong R$$

[9]Throughout this section, B will be taken to be an arbitrary such object, although what we have in mind is the cobar complex $B^{*,*}(A)$ of a braided Hopf algebra A. In particular, the reader is *not* meant to imagine that B is the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}$.

onto that for B.

When $R = k$, the above is the usual notion of minimality; the differential carries elements of W to composite elements in the tensor algebra. When R is not a field, this allows a somewhat weaker notion: the differential can carry elements of W to either composite elements of $T_R W$, or to non-unit R-multiples of elements of W. In particular, it incorporates R-torsion elements in the homology of B.

Lemma 6.1. *If $H^*(B)$ is connected as an R-algebra, then B admits an associative model.*

Proof. Our proof is adapted from that of Prop. 12.1 of [FHT01] in the commutative case. Let $H^*(B)^+$ denote the elements of positive topological degree. We may of course present $H^*(B)^+$ as the quotient of a free bigraded R-module. Let $W(0)$ be that module, and let $m_0 : (W(0), 0) \to (B, \delta)$ be a chain map inducing this surjection in homology. This, in turn, induces a map of dgas $m_0 : (T_R W(0), 0) \to (B, \delta)$ which is also surjective in homology, and is an isomorphism in topological degree 0 since $H^*(B)$ is connected.

We inductively define free R-modules $W(k)$ that are concentrated in positive topological degrees. These are constructed as $W(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} W_j$ with $W_0 = W(0)$, and are equipped with maps of dgas

$$m_k : (T_R W(k), d) \to (B, \delta).$$

We take as given this data for $W(0), \ldots, W(k)$, and will construct $W(k+1)$.

Since $W(0) \leq W(k)$, this map is necessarily surjective in homology. The kernel $\ker(m_{k*})$ of the map in homology is an R-submodule of $H^*(T_R W(k), d)$; let $\{z_\alpha\} \subseteq T_R W(k)$ be a set of cocycles which descend to a family of R-module generators of $\ker(m_{k*})$. Let W_{k+1} be a free bigraded R-module on generators $\{w_\alpha\}$ which is in bijection with $\{z_\alpha\}$, and equip the w_α with the bigrading

$$\deg(w_\alpha) = (p-1, q) \text{ where } \deg(z_\alpha) = (p, q).$$

Notice that since z_α has positive topological degree, so too does w_α, and hence W_{k+1}.

Define $d : W_{k+1} \to T_R W(k)$ by $d(w_\alpha) = z_\alpha$. By using the existing differential on $T_R W(k)$, we can extend this to a derivation $d : T_R W(k+1) \to T_R W(k+1)$. Since $d^2(w_\alpha) = d(z_\alpha) = 0$, the map d^2 is zero on R-module generators of W_{k+1}; inductively this is also true for $W(k)$. Further, the formula

$$d^2(ab) = d(d(a)b + (-1)^{|a|} a d(b)) = d^2(a)b + a d^2(b)$$

extends the vanishing of d^2 to all of $T_R W(k+1)$. Notice also that our construction ensures that the composite

$$\ker(m_{k*}) \to H^*(T_R W(k), d) \to H^*(T_R W(k+1), d)$$

is zero.

Inductively, this defines $(T_R W, d) = (T_R \sum W_j, d)$, along with the filtration $W(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} W_j$ and the map of dgas $m : (T_R W, d) \to (B, \delta)$ as the limit of the maps m_k. At each stage, m_k is a surjection, so m is a surjection as well. Further, if $z \in \ker m_*$, it must be the case that $z \in T_R W(k)$ for some k. Therefore $z \in \ker(m_{k*})$, and so vanishes in $H^*(T_R W, d)$. Thus m is a quasi-isomorphism. By construction $d(W(k)) \subset T_R(W(k-1))$, and $d(W(0)) = 0$.

□

Theorem 6.2. *If $H^*(B)$ is connected as an R-algebra, then B admits a minimal model.*

Proof. Let $(T_R W, d)$ be an associative model of B as provided by the previous Lemma. Restricting the differential to $d : W \to T_R W$, we may write $d = d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + \cdots$, where d_j increases[10] word length by j; that is, on W, d_j is the part

$$d_j : W \to (T_R W)_{1+j} = W^{\otimes 1+j}.$$

Our goal is to replace this model with one which is minimal; that is, we must modify the model so that the component d_0 carries generators $w \in W$ to classes $d_0(w) \in W$ which vanish in $k \otimes_R W$. To do this, we will isolate the part of W for which this fails to be true, show that it produces a nullhomotopic subcomplex, and produce a minimal model by collapsing it within W.

To that end, note that d_0 restricts to an endomorphism of W, and the equation $d^2 = 0$ implies that $d_0^2 = 0$; (W, d_0) is a chain complex over R. The ring R admits an R-module filtration by $R^{\geq n} := \sum_{k \geq n} R_k$; since R is connected, $R = R^{\geq 0}$. Further, R splits as a k-vector space as the direct sum of the filtration quotients $R^{\geq n}/R^{\geq n+1} = R_n$. Consider the filtration of W by $W^{\geq n} := R^{\geq n} W$; then since W is a free R-module, it also splits as a k-vector space as the sum

$$W \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W^{\geq n}/W^{\geq n+1}.$$

Consequently, we may write $d_0 = d_{0,0} + d_{0,1} + d_{0,2} + \cdots$, where $d_{0,j}$ is the part of d_0 which increases filtration by j. Again, the restriction $(W, d_{0,0})$ is a chain complex, as $d_{0,0}^2 = 0$.

Notice that

$$k \otimes_R W = (R^{\geq 0}/R^{\geq 1}) \otimes_R W = W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1}.$$

The map $d_{0,0}$ descends to a differential on this k-vector space. Let

[10] Note that there is no nonzero component $d_{-1} : W \to R$ which decreases word length. If there were, in topological degree 0, $H^0(B)$ would be the non-free R-algebra $R/\mathrm{im}\,(d_{-1})$.

- $\overline{H} := H^*(W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1}, d_{0,0})$;
- $\overline{V} := (W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1})/\ker d_{0,0}$; and
- $\overline{V}' := \operatorname{im} d_{0,0}$.

Then $W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1}$ may be decomposed as a direct sum of k-vector spaces:

$$W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1} = \overline{H} \oplus \overline{V} \oplus \overline{V}'.$$

Note that $d_{0,0} : \overline{V} \to \overline{V}'$ is an isomorphism. Let $\{e_\alpha\}$ be a set of homogenous elements of W that descend to a basis of $\overline{V}$, and $\{g_\beta\} \subseteq W$ of homogenous elements that descend to a basis of $\overline{H}$. Define elements $f_\alpha = d_0(e_\alpha) \in W$. Notice that the image of f_α in $W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1}$ under the natural projection is $d_{0,0}(e_\alpha)$; the set of these forms a basis for $\overline{V}'$. Collectively, then, $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, g_\beta\}$ is a basis for $W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1}$.

We claim that the R-submodule M of W generated by $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, g_\beta\}$ is all of W. It is the case that $k \otimes_R (W/M) = 0$. So

$$0 = k \otimes_R (W/M) = (R/R^{\geq 1}) \otimes_R (W/M) = W/(W^{\geq 1} + M)$$

so M contains representatives of all of $W^{\geq 0}/W^{\geq 1}$. Since these generates W as an R-module, it must be that $M = W$.

Define submodules of W by

$$V = R\{e_\alpha\},\ V' := R\{f_\alpha\},\ \text{ and }\ H = R\{g_\beta\}.$$

We claim that these are free R-modules (on the indicated generators), and that $W \cong H \oplus V \oplus V'$. Equivalently, we claim that W is free on $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, g_\beta\}$. We have already seen that W is generated over R by this set; it suffices to show that there are no relations amongst them.

Let F denote the free R-module generated by $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, g_\beta\}$; then there is a surjective homomorphism $F \to W$. Let K denote the kernel. We obtain an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(k, W) \to k \otimes_R K \to k \otimes_R F \to k \otimes_R W \to 0.$$

However, the Tor term vanishes since W is a free R-module. By construction, the map $k \otimes_R F \to k \otimes_R W$ is an isomorphism, so $k \otimes_R K = 0$. Then, by a graded form of Nakayama's lemma, it must be that $K = 0$, so W is indeed free on $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, g_\beta\}$.

We now show that $(T_R W, d)$ is equivalent to the dga $(T_R H, d_H)$, where the differential d_H is induced from d; to do so, we will show that the "rest" of $T_R W$ is nullhomotopic. The submodule V' is not necessarily adapted for this purposes; we will replace it with a submodule V'' that agrees with it to zeroth order (i.e., $k \otimes_R V'' = k \otimes_R V'$). Specifically, let V'' denote the R-submodule of $T_R W$ generated by $\{d(e_\alpha)\}$. Since d is R-linear,

the projection map $T_R W \to W$ carries V'' to the R-submodule generated by $\{d_0(e_\alpha)\}$, namely V'. Since V' is free, this admits an obvious inverse, giving an isomorphism $V' \to V''$. Therefore, the map

$$T_R(H \oplus V \oplus V'') \to T_R W \tag{6.11}$$

induced by the inclusion $H \oplus V \oplus V'' \hookrightarrow T_R W$ is an isomorphism of algebras. Furthermore, we can decompose the domain as

$$T_R[H \oplus (V \oplus V'')] = T_R H *_R T_R(V \oplus V''),$$

where $*_R$ indicates the free product of associative R-algebras.

Notice that $d : V \to V''$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $T_R(V \oplus V'')$ is nullhomotopic. To see this, observe that $T_R(V \oplus V'')$ decomposes as a direct sum over the subcomplexes

$$(V \oplus V'')^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{S \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} V^{\otimes S} \otimes (V'')^{\otimes \{1,\dots,n\} \setminus S}$$

Note that if $v'' \in V'' \subset W$, then $d(v'') = 0$. Thus the differential on $V \oplus V''$ is a signed sum over elements $i \in S$ of d applied to the i^{th} term of $V^{\otimes S}$. This complex is easily seen to be contractible via a nullhomotopy which employs the inverse of d. Specifically, the nullhomotopy is either 0 if there are no elements of V'' in the tensor, or it applies d^{-1} to the first element of V'' appearing from the left.

Consider the quotient map $q : T_R W \to T_R H$ which carries $V \oplus V''$ to 0. We may equip $T_R H$ with the differential d_H given by the composite

$$T_R H \xrightarrow{\leq} T_R W \xrightarrow{d} T_R W \xrightarrow{q} T_R H.$$

Then $q : (T_R W, d) \to (T_R H, d_H)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of differential graded algebras; one inserts the nullhomotopy of $T_R(V \oplus V'')$ termwise in the free product.

Since H is defined so that the degree $(0,0)$ part of d_H,

$$(d_H)_{0,0} = d_{0,0}|_H = 0,$$

this ensures that d_H is minimal.

□

Proposition 6.3. *If $(T_R W, d)$ is a minimal model for B, then $W^{p,q}$ vanishes if $p+q \geq 0$. If $p + q < 0$,*

$$(k \otimes_R W)^{p,q} \cong \operatorname{Tor}^B_{(p-1,q)}(k, R).$$

Proof. Since B is connected relative to R, we have $W^{p,q} = 0$ if $p + q \geq 0$. In particular, $W^{p,-p} = 0$. Let T denote $T_R W$, equipped with the trivial differential. Then there is a spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}^T(k, R) \implies \mathrm{Tor}^{(T_R W, d)}(k, R) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^B(k, R) \tag{6.12}$$

gotten from regarding the bar complex $B_*(T_R W, d)$ as a bicomplex, with vertical differential the bar differential, and horizontal differential internal to $T_R W$. Further, we can compute the E_1 term via another (change of rings) spectral sequence:

$$\mathrm{Tor}^R(k, \mathrm{Tor}^T(R, R)) \implies \mathrm{Tor}^T(k, R) \tag{6.13}$$

But adding together the inclusion

$$\Sigma^{(-1,0)} W \subseteq \Sigma^{(-1,0)} T_R W \subseteq B_{*,*}(T)$$

and the unit $R \to B_{*,*}(T)$ gives a quasi-isomorphism, so

$$\mathrm{Tor}^T_{(p,q)}(R, R) = \begin{cases} R_p, & p + q = 0 \\ W^{p+1,q}, & p + q < 0 \\ 0, & p + q > 0 \end{cases}$$

This is a free R-module, so (6.13) collapses to its E_2-term, which is k when $p = -q$ and $k \otimes_R W^{p+1,q}$ when $p < -q$.

This implies that the spectral sequence (6.12) collapses to its E_1-term, which is also the sum of k and $k \otimes_R W$. The differentials in this spectral sequence are induced by those in $W \subseteq T_R W$, but the assumption of minimality forces their target in $k \otimes_R W$ to be 0.

□

6.3 The homology of the Koszul complex

Let A be a graded, connected, locally finite algebra over a field k, and assume that A is generated in degree 1; that is, A may be presented as $T(V)/I$, where $V = A_1$ is a finite dimensional k vector space, and the ideal I of relations is homogenous. We may clearly suppose that I has no linear term. A special case is when A is *quadratic*; then I is generated in $T(V)_2 = V \otimes V$. Generally, we may form the *quadratic cover* of A,

$$\hat{A} := T(V)/I_2$$

where $I_2 = (\rho)$ is the ideal generated by the relations $\rho = I \cap T(V)_2$ in I of degree 2. There is an obvious surjective map $\hat{A} \to A$ whose kernel is I/I_2.

Let $\hat{A}^!$ be the *quadratic dual* of $\hat{A}$; $\hat{A}^!$ is defined as $T(V^*)/\rho^\perp$, where $\rho^\perp$ is the ideal generated by the relations in $V^* \otimes V^*$ complementary to those in ρ. The following extends the original definition in [Pri70]:

Definition 6.4. The *Koszul complex for* A is $K(A) = A \otimes (\hat{A}^!)^*$. Note that this is bigraded, with $K_{p,q}(A) = A_p \otimes (\hat{A}^!_q)^*$. We equip it with a differential $d : K_{p,q}(A) \to K_{p+1,q-1}(A)$ defined as

$$d(x \otimes \phi) = \sum_i v_i x \otimes v_i^* \phi.$$

Here $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ forms a basis for V, $\{v_1^*, \ldots, v_n^*\}$ is the dual basis of $V^* = \hat{A}_1$, and $(\hat{A}^!)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(\hat{A}^!, k)$ is an $\hat{A}^!$-module by via $(a\phi)(b) = \phi(ab)$.

When A is quadratic (so $A = \hat{A}$), this is the usual Koszul complex for A. If A is not quadratic, we may identify $K(A)$ with the Koszul complex for its quadratic cover $\hat{A}$, with coefficients[11] in its module A,

$$K(A) = A \otimes_{\hat{A}} K(\hat{A}).$$

In particular, this shows that $K(A)$ is a chain complex. When A is quadratic, $K(A)$ is acyclic if and only if A is Koszul. The purpose of this section is to explore what the homology of $K(A)$ computes when it is nonzero.

Let us write $B := B^{*,*}(A)$ for the cobar complex of A. It is well known that the quadratic dual $\hat{A}^!$ is isomorphic to the diagonal part of the cohomology of the quadratic cover $\hat{A}$:

$$\hat{A}^!_n \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_{\hat{A}}(k,k).$$

However, the map $\hat{A} \to A$ is an isomorphism in degrees 1 and 2, and so by Lemma 4.12, the natural map

$$H^{n,-n}(B) = \mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_{A}(k,k) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{n,n}_{\hat{A}}(k,k)$$

is also a isomorphism; thus we have a ring isomorphism $\hat{A}^!_n = H^{n,-n}(B)$.

In fact, there is a map $B \to \hat{A}^!$ of differential graded algebras (equipping $\hat{A}^!$ with the trivial differential) which in cohomology induces the projection of the Ext algebra onto its diagonal part. This makes $\hat{A}^!$ a module for B. If we dualize the Koszul complex $K(A)$, we have

Proposition 6.5. *There is an isomorphism* $H^{p,q}(K(A)^*) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^B_{(q,-p-q)}(k, \hat{A}^!)$.

When A is Koszul, the projection $B \to A^!$ is a quasi-isomorphism (this is essentially one definition of Koszulity), so the right hand side of the isomorphism is k, concentrated in bidegree $(0,0)$, recovering the fact that the Koszul complex is acyclic in this case.

[11] We thank Tom Church for this observation.

Proof. Consider the bar resolution of k over A; we may write this as the complex $(A \otimes B_{*,*}(A), d)$, where d is the standard differential. Notice that we can split d up as $d = d_1 + d_2$, where $d_2 : B_{-j,q}(A) \to B_{1-j,q}(A)$ is the differential internal to $B_{*,*}(A)$, and d_1 mixes together the two tensor factors:

$$d_1 : A_p \otimes B_{-j,q}(A) \to \bigoplus_{m>0} A_{p+m} \otimes B_{1-j,q-m}(A) \tag{6.14}$$

(recall our indexing conventions from section 6.1). We normally regard this as an A-resolution of k, free over $B_{*,*}(A)$. However, we may also regard it as a cofree resolution of k as a $B_{*,*}(A)$-comodule, as we now explain.

Specifically, we map $k \to A \otimes B_{*,*}(A)$ by tensoring together the unit of A with the unit of $B_{*,*}(A)$ (perhaps co-augmentation is a better term). Since the unit is primitive, this is a map of $B_{*,*}(A)$-comodules. We already know that this is an equivalence. Thus this is a resolution of k over the dg-coalgebra $B_{*,*}(A)$, cofree over A.

Dualizing, we get a free B-resolution $(A^* \otimes B, d_1^*)$ of k free over A^*; the components of the differential are:

$$d_{1,m}^* : A_{p+m}^* \otimes B^{j-1,m-q}(A) \to A_p^* \otimes B^{j,-q}(A) \tag{6.15}$$

Then $\mathrm{Tor}^B(k, \hat{A}^!)$ is the homology of the complex

$$[A^* \otimes B] \otimes_B \hat{A}^! = A^* \otimes \hat{A}^! = K(A)^*$$

A word on degrees: $K(A)^*_{p,q} = A_p^* \otimes \hat{A}^!_q$ corresponds, on the lefthand side, to bidegree $(q, -p-q)$. Further, since $\hat{A}^!_q$ is concentrated in bidegrees $(q, -q)$, the differential – which is induced from (6.15) – must have have a single component coming from $m = 1$, and thus is of the form

$$d_{1,1}^* : A_{p+1}^* \otimes \hat{A}^!_{q-1} \to A_p^* \otimes \hat{A}^!_q$$

One can see directly that this is dual to the Koszul differential: the original differential in (6.14), when restricted to $A_p \otimes B_{-q,q}(A) = A_p \otimes A_1^{\otimes q}$ is

$$x \otimes (a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_q) \mapsto x a_1 \otimes (a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_q).$$

When descended to $A_p \otimes (\hat{A}^!_q)^*$, this is precisely the Koszul differential.

□

Putting this result together with Proposition 6.3, we have:

Corollary 6.6. *The cobar complex $B^{*,*}(A)$ may be presented by an associative minimal model $(T_R W, d)$ where the free R-module W has*

$$(k \otimes_R W)^{p,q} \cong H^{1-p-q,p-1}(K(A)^*)$$

Consequently, $T_R W$ may be generated as an R-algebra in topological degree $j = -p - q$ by a set whose k-span may be identified with

$$\bigoplus_{p \geq 1} H^{1+j,p-1}(K(A)^*).$$

The second statement follows from the first by summing over $-p-q = j$, and noting that a set of R-algebra generators of $T_R W$ descends to a basis of the vector space $k \otimes_R W$.

6.4 The dual Koszul complex for Nichols algebras

In this section, we study the Koszul complex and its dual for the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ for a braided vector space $V = k\{v_1, \dots v_n\}$. Recall from Lemma 4.12 that the quadratic dual $\hat{A}^! \cong R$ is the ring of components of section 4.6. Also recall from section 2.5 that there is an action of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ on $\mathfrak{B}(V^*) \cong \mathfrak{B}(V)^*$ via differential operators; for $v \in V$, the associated operator is of the form

$$\partial_v : \mathfrak{B}(V^*)_p \to \mathfrak{B}(V^*)_{p-1}.$$

It is easy to verify that the dual of the Koszul complex for $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ takes the form $K(\mathfrak{B}(V))^* = \mathfrak{B}(V)^* \otimes R$, with differential

$$d(\psi \otimes r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{v_i}(\psi) \otimes v_i r$$

Notice that $K(\mathfrak{B}(V))^*$ is a right R-module, and this action commutes with the differential. This allows us to introduce an extension:

Definition 6.7. Let M be a (graded) left R-module, and define a bigraded chain complex

$$\mathcal{K}(M)^{p,q} := (K(\mathfrak{B}(V))^* \otimes_R M)^{p,q} = \mathfrak{B}(V^*)_p \otimes M_q.$$

Note that the differential is of the form $d : \mathcal{K}(M)^{p,q} \to \mathcal{K}(M)^{p-1,q+1}$.

Remark 6.8. It is worth pointing out (although we will not use this fact) that one can in fact form a variant on this complex with $\mathfrak{A}(V^*)$ in place of $\mathfrak{B}(V^*)$, since R is isomorphic to the diagonal cohomology of both $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{B}(V)$. The resulting complex is precisely the "Koszul-like" complex of section 4 of [EVW16] which was constructed from the arc complex for braid groups. One of the main technical advantages of the approach in this paper is that the complex based upon $\mathfrak{B}(V^*)$ is substantially smaller and easier to compute than the one based on $\mathfrak{A}(V^*)$.

Since $K(\mathfrak{B}(V))^* = \mathcal{K}(R)$ is a free right R-module, for any short exact sequence

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$$

of R-modules, there is a short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(M') \to \mathcal{K}(M) \to \mathcal{K}(M'') \to 0.$$

This immediately gives us:

Proposition 6.9. *There is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(M')) \to H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(M)) \to H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(M'')) \to H^{p-1,q+1}(\mathcal{K}(M')) \to \cdots$$

We note that if $x \in R$, right multiplication $\rho_x : R \to R$ by x is a left R-module map. Further, if I is a left ideal of R it is also a right ideal (see, e.g. (5.10)), so ρ_x carries I to itself. Thus if $J \leq I$ is an inclusion of left ideals in R, ρ_x descends to a left R-module map $\rho_x : I/J \to I/J$.

Proposition 6.10. *The right action of R on $H^*(\mathcal{K}(I/J))$ is trivial; that is, for any $x \in R_{>0}$, right multiplication by x is 0.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for any j, right multiplication by v_j on $\mathcal{K}(I/J)$ is nullhomotopic since v_j generate R. Define a map

$$P : \mathcal{K}(I/J)^{p,q} \to \mathcal{K}(I/J)^{p+1,q} \text{ by } \psi \otimes r \mapsto \psi v_j^* \otimes r.$$

That is, P is given by right multiplication by $v_j^* \in V^* = \mathfrak{B}(V^*)_1$ in the first tensor factor.

We compute:

$$Pd(\psi \otimes r) = \sum_i \partial_{v_i}(\psi) v_j^* \otimes v_i r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dP(\psi \otimes r) &= \sum_i \partial_{v_i}(\psi v_j^*) \otimes v_i r \\ &= \sum_i \partial_{v_i}(\psi) v_j^* \otimes v_i r + \sum_i \psi^{v_i} \partial_{v_i}(v_j^*) \otimes v_i r \\ &= \left(\sum_i \partial_{v_i}(\psi) v_j^* \otimes v_i r \right) + \psi^{v_j} \otimes v_j r \end{aligned}$$

so

$$[dP - Pd](\psi \otimes r) = \psi^{v_j} \otimes v_j r = \psi^{v_j} \otimes r^{v_j} v_j = \psi^{v_j} \otimes (r v_j)^{v_j}$$

which shows that $\psi \otimes r \mapsto \psi^{v_j} \otimes (r v_j)^{v_j}$ is nullhomotopic. However, this map is conjugate (using the Yetter-Drinfeld structure on $\mathcal{K}(I/J)$) to the map $\psi \otimes r \mapsto \psi \otimes r v_j$, which gives the result.

□

6.5 Nichols algebras of rack type

We will now focus on Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$ in the case where $V = kc$ is the braided vector space associated to a conjugation-invariant subset $c \subseteq G$ (i.e., a union of conjugacy classes), as in section 2.3. Notice that R_n may be identified with the free k-vector space on the set of orbits of B_n on $c^{\times n}$ under the Hurwitz action. For a Hurwitz word $w \in R_n$ represented by $(w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in c^{\times n}$, there is a well-defined subgroup $H := \langle w_1, \ldots, w_n \rangle \leq G$ generated by w; we will call H the *monodromy group* associated to w.

We will insist that c generate the group G. Let us write $\mathrm{Sub}_{G,c}$ for the lattice of subgroups $H \leq G$ which may be generated from c; that is, each $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_{G,c}$ has $H = \langle c \cap H \rangle$. Note that $V_H := k(c \cap H)$ generates its own ring of components; we will write this as

$$R^H := \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathrm{br}}(V_H)$$

and this is dual to the quadratic cover of $\mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon})$. There are maps

$$i_H : V_H \to V \text{ given by } i_H(x) = x$$

and

$$\rho_H : V \to V_H; \text{ for } g \in c, \text{ we define } \rho_H(g) = \begin{cases} g, & g \in H \\ 0, & g \notin H. \end{cases}$$

Then ρ_H induces a map of braided Hopf algebras $\rho_H : \mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon) \to \mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon})$. This admits a section induced by i_H. By Theorem 3.1, we have a twisted tensor product decomposition

$$\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon) \cong Z_H \otimes_\alpha \mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon})$$

where Z_H is the cotensor product $Z_H = \mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon) \square_{\mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon})} k$.

Similarly, i_H and ρ_H induce ring homomorphisms between R and R_H which split the latter off the former. In particular, ρ_H makes R_H into an R-module (killing all products with generators from the complement of H in G). Thus we may form a Koszul complex for R^H in two different ways: using the R-module or R^H-module structure. To clarify which is which, we use the notation:

$$\mathcal{K}(R^H) = \mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon^*) \otimes R^H \text{ and } \mathcal{K}_H(R^H) = \mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon}^*) \otimes R^H.$$

In both cases, these are equipped with the differential coming from Definition 6.7. For an R^H-module M, ρ_H makes M into an R-module, and so we may extend these definitions:

$$\mathcal{K}(M) := \mathcal{K}(R^H) \otimes_{R^H} M \text{ and } \mathcal{K}_H(M) := \mathcal{K}_H(R^H) \otimes_{R^H} M.$$

Proposition 6.11. *Regard Z_H^* as being concentrated in bidegrees $(p, 0)$, with trivial differential. Then there is an isomorphism of chain complexes*

$$\mathcal{K}(M) \cong Z_H^* \otimes \mathcal{K}_H(M).$$

Proof. Dual to the twisted tensor product decomposition is an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon^*) \cong Z_H^* \otimes_{\alpha^*} \mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon}^*)$$

of coalgebras. In particular, this is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon})$-modules, where $\mathfrak{B}(V_{H,\epsilon})$ acts trivially on Z_H^*, and through the differential operators of section 2.5 on the other terms.

Tensoring with R^H gives the desired isomorphism for $M = R^H$ on the level of bigraded modules. However, the differential in $\mathcal{K}(R^H)$ satisfies

$$d(\psi \otimes r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{v_i}(\psi) \otimes v_i r = \sum_{v_i \in H} \partial_{v_i}(\psi) \otimes v_i r$$

since multiplication by $v_i \notin H$ kills each $r \in R^H$. But this is precisely the differential on $Z_H^* \otimes \mathcal{K}_H(R^H)$. Now tensor over R^H with M.

□

For $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_{G,c}$, define $F^{\geq H}R$ to be the span of all Hurwitz words whose monodromy group contains H. Note that this is a two-sided ideal in R, and that if $H \leq K$, then $F^{\geq H}R \supseteq F^{\geq K}R$. Define an R-module

$$R^{(H)} := F^{\geq H}R \Big/ \left(\sum_{K > H} F^{\geq K}R \right).$$

Packaging this filtration together with Proposition 6.9, we have:

Corollary 6.12. *There is a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} := \bigoplus_{H \in \mathrm{Sub}_{G,c}} H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(H)})) \implies H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(R))$$

with first differential d_1 a sum over minimal $K > H$ of the maps

$$d_1^{H<K} : H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(H)})) \to H^{p-1,q+1}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(K)}))$$

induced by the connecting homomorphism in Proposition 6.9.

6.6 Multiple Koszul differentials

We will see that the Koszul complex attached to a Nichols algebra of rack type actually carries the structure of a multiple complex. Suppose c breaks up as a union of conjugacy classes $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m$. In this setting, R carries a m-tuple grading: namely, $R_{q_1,\ldots,q_m}$ is the space spanned by Hurwitz words $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_q)$ containing q_i instances of c_i for each i. (Here and henceforth, our convention is that $q = q_1 + \cdots + q_m$.)

For any m-tuply graded R-module M, the differential d on $\mathcal{K}(M)$ can be broken up as a sum of m operators as follows. We define an operator d_i on $\mathcal{K}(M)$ by

$$d_i(\psi \otimes m) = \sum_{w \in c_i} \partial_w(\psi) \otimes wm$$

Then $d = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i$. In fact, this gives $\mathcal{K}(M)$ the structure of a multiple complex, as we now show.

Proposition 6.13. *The operators $d_1, \ldots, d_m$ are anticommuting differentials.*

Proof. The proof of the fact that each d_i squares to zero is the same as the argument that the Koszul complex of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is a complex, when restricted to the sub-braided vector space $V_i \leq V$ generated by c_i.

We have

$$d_i d_j(\psi \otimes m) = \sum_{w \in c_i, w' \in c_j} \partial_{w'w}(\psi) \otimes ww'm$$

(recall that the action of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ on its dual is a *right* action). We note that the product ww' acting on m is the product in the ring R, while the product $w'w$ in $\partial_{w'w}$ is the product in the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, which is inherited from the product on the quantum shuffle algebra defined in section 2.4. In particular, we have

$$\partial_{w'w} = \partial_{[w'|w]} + \partial_{\sigma[w'|w]}.$$

On the other hand, in R, thought of as the ring of braid-coinvariant tensors on V we have the identity

$$w|w' = \sigma(w|w').$$

So we can write

$$d_i d_j(\psi \otimes m) = \sum_{w \in c_i, w' \in c_j} \partial_{[w'|w]}(\psi) \otimes (w|w')m + \partial_{\sigma[w'|w]}(\psi) \otimes \sigma(w|w')m.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(d_i d_j + d_j d_i)(\psi \otimes m) &= \sum_{w \in c_i, w' \in c_j} \partial_{[w'|w]}(\psi) \otimes (w|w')m + \partial_{\sigma[w|w']}(\psi) \otimes \sigma(w'|w)m \\ &+ \sum_{w \in c_i, w' \in c_j} \partial_{\sigma[w'|w]}(\psi) \otimes \sigma(w|w')m + \partial_{[w|w']}(\psi) \otimes (w'|w)m.\end{aligned}$$

For the braided vector space under consideration, we have

$$\sigma[w|w'] = -[w'|w^{w'}].$$

In particular, the sets $\{[w'|w]\}_{w\in c_i, w'\in c_j}$ and $\{\sigma[w|w']\}_{w\in c_i, w'\in c_j}$ are identical up to sign and permutation; thus

$$\sum_{w\in c_i, w'\in c_j} \partial_{[w'|w]}(\psi)\otimes(w|w')m + \partial_{\sigma[w|w']}(\psi)\otimes\sigma(w'|w)m = 0$$

and similarly for the other summand in $d_id_j + d_jd_i$. We conclude that $d_id_j = -d_jd_i$, as claimed.

□

We note that each d_i is a graded operator (in the q_i index), sending $\mathcal{K}(M)_{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}$ to $\mathcal{K}(M)_{p+1,q_1,\dots,q_i-1,\dots,q_m}$. It follows that the cohomology of the Koszul complex $\mathcal{K}(M)$ also acquires an m-tuple grading.

Lemma 6.14. *For each $i = 1,\dots,m$, there exists a constant B_i such that for every $g \in c_i$, if $q_i > B_i$ (and for all p and q_j for $j \neq i$), right multiplication by g is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{K}(R^{(G)})_{p,q_1,\dots,q_i,\dots,q_m} \to \mathcal{K}(R^{(G)})_{p,q_1,\dots,q_i+1,\dots,q_m}$$

Proof. By definition, every Hurwitz word $w = (g_1,\dots,g_q)$ in $R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_m}$ has full monodromy group G. Note that each element of c_i has a common order d_i, and define

$$b_i := d_i \cdot \#c_i.$$

Then, if $w \in R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_m}$, and $q_i > b_i$, there is some element $h \in c_i$ which appears at least $d_i + 1$ times in the word w.

Following the argument of Proposition 3.4 of [EVW16] or the appendix to [FV91], one can use the braid action to move h^d to the end of the word w. It is still the case that the remainder of the word generates H, so one may use braid moves to conjugate h^d to g^d for any $g \in c_i$. So we can write

$$w = w' \cdot g.$$

That is: right multiplication by g is a surjection.

Therefore, if $q_i > b_i$, and $g \in c_i$, we have a sequence of surjections

$$R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_i-1,\dots,q_m} \xrightarrow{-\cdot g} R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_i,\dots,q_m} \xrightarrow{-\cdot g} R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_i+1,\dots,q_m} \xrightarrow{-\cdot g} \cdots$$

However, since the vector spaces in question have finite rank, these surjections must eventually be isomorphisms. Let B_i be chosen so that if $q_i > B_i$, right multiplication by g,

$$- \cdot g : R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_i,\dots,q_m} \to R^{(G)}_{q_1,\dots,q_i+1,\dots,q_m}$$

is an isomorphism for every $g \in c_i$ This induces the indicated isomorphism on Koszul complexes.

□

Corollary 6.15. *If, for any i, $q_i > B_i$, then $H^{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(G)})) = 0$.*

Proof. Define

$$\mathbb{H}^{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(G)})) = H^*(H^*(\cdots(H^*(\mathcal{K}(R^{(G)}), d_1), \dots,)d_{m-1}), d_m)^{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}$$

to be the iterated homology of the Koszul complex with respect to each of the differentials d_i. This is the E_1 term of a series of $m-1$ spectral sequences that converge ultimately to $H^{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(G)}))$; this is the m-fold analogue of the spectral sequence of a bicomplex. Thus, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{H}^{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(G)}))$ vanishes for $q_i > B_i$.

The same argument as in Proposition 6.10 shows that for any $g \in c_i$ the operators

$$P_g(\psi \otimes r) = \psi g^* \otimes r$$

give a nullhomotopy of right multiplication by g with respect to the d_i differential. Further, if $j \neq i$, then d_j and P_g commute. Consequently, for each $j < i$, P_g descends through the homology with respect to d_j to give a nullhomotopy of multiplication by g. However, the previous Lemma shows that when $q_i > B_i$, multiplication by g is an automorphism of the Koszul complex (and hence of the iterated homology). Thus the automorphism g is also 0 whenever $q_i > B_i$.

□

Theorem 6.16. *For each $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_{G,c}$, there exists a constant B_H so that if $q > B_H$ (and for all p), $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(R^{(H)})) = 0$. Then, for $q > \max_H(B_H)$, $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}(R)) = 0$.*

Proof. The second statement follows from the first via the spectral sequence of Corollary 6.12. Note that the first statement holds for $\mathcal{K}(R^{(H)})$ if and only if it holds for $\mathcal{K}_H(R^{(H)})$, via Proposition 6.11.

Decompose $c \cap H = c_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup c_m$ into a disjoint union of conjugacy classes; then the previous result (for $(H, c \cap H)$) shows that $H^{p,q_1,\dots,q_m}\mathcal{K}_H(R^{(H)}) = 0$ whenever any q_i is greater than some constant B_i which depends only upon H and c_i. Taking $B_H = \sum B_i$, if $q = \sum q_i > B_H$, then for some i, it must be the case that $q_i > B_i$, so $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{K}_H(R^{(H)})) = 0$.

□

Remark 6.17. It might be complicated to write down a good bound for the threshold B_H in general, but for any particular choice of G and c, one should be able to compute B_H directly; it depends only on the combinatorics of braid orbits on long tuples of elements of $c \cap H$.

Corollary 6.18. *For any (G, c), there is a constant $D = D(G, c)$ such that a minimal associative presentation of $B^{*,*}(\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon))$ as $(T_R W, d)$ is generated as an R-algebra in topological degree j by at most $D(\#c)^j$ elements.*

Proof. From Corollary 6.6 we know that $(T_R W, d)$ is generated as an R-algebra in topological degree j by a set whose cardinality equals the rank of

$$\bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^{1+j,q}(\mathcal{K}(R)).$$

The previous result shows that this vanishes for $q > \mu := \max_H(B_H)$. The rank of this cohomology is bounded by that of the Koszul complex itself, so:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rk}_k \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\mu} H^{1+j,q}(\mathcal{K}(R)) &\leq \operatorname{rk}_k \mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon^*)_{1+j} \cdot \left(\sum_{q=0}^{\mu} \operatorname{rk}_k R_q \right) \\ &\leq (\operatorname{rk}_k V)^{1+j} \cdot \left(\sum_{q=0}^{\mu} \operatorname{rk}_k R_q \right) \\ &\leq (\#c)^{1+j} \cdot \left(\sum_{q=0}^{\mu} \operatorname{rk}_k R_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

If we set $E = \sum_{q=0}^{\mu} \operatorname{rk}_k R_q$, then the result holds if we pick D to be $E(\#c)$.

□

6.7 Cohomological growth of Nichols algebras

Let V be a Yetter-Drinfeld module and let $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(V)$ be the associated Nichols algebra. The following is immediate from Proposition 5.6, taking $S = R$ and $M = W$, graded by topological degree.

Proposition 6.19. *If there are constants D, C such that a minimal associative presentation of $B^{*,*}(\mathfrak{B})$ as $(T_R W, d)$ is generated as an R-algebra in topological degree j by at most DC^j elements, then Hypothesis 5.11 holds with constant*

$$C_{\mathfrak{B}} \leq \ln(C) + \ln(D + 1).$$

Given that we know that $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{B}}(k,k)$ is a braided symmetric algebra, its presentation as a tensor algebra with differential is undoubtedly wildly inefficient. However, for our purposes, the above suffices. In particular, we may conclude from the previous section:

Corollary 6.20. *For arbitrary (G,c), if $V = kc$, then Hypothesis 5.11 (and hence Hypothesis 5.10) holds for $\mathfrak{B}(V_\epsilon)$.*

7 Malle's conjecture over function fields

7.1 Hurwitz spaces

Let $c \subseteq G$ be a union of conjugacy classes in a finite group G. We will regard c as a rack and obtain a braided vector space $V = kc$ via the definition in Section 2.3. Note that the action of B_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ is by permutation of the basis $c^{\times n}$ of $V^{\otimes n}$. We recall that $B_n = \pi_1 \mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the fundamental group of the (unordered) configuration space of the plane.

Definition 7.1. The n^{th} *Hurwitz space* of $\mathbb{C}$ associated to the pair (G,c) is the quotient

$$\mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n} := \widetilde{\mathrm{Conf}}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times_{B_n} c^{\times n}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{Conf}}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the universal cover of $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and the action of the braid group is diagonal. There is an isomorphism

$$H_*(\mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n}; k) \cong H_*(B_n, V^{\otimes n}).$$

Remark 7.2. The space $\mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n}$ may be identified with the moduli space of branched covers of the unit disk $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with n branch points in the interior of D, Galois group c, and a trivialization of the covering over $1 \in \partial D$. Furthermore, we may define a subspace $\mathrm{CHur}^c_{G,n}$ to be the union of components consisting of branched covers whose domain is connected. See section 2.3 of [EVW16] for details.

We notice that

$$\mathrm{Hur}^c_G := \coprod_n \mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n}$$

forms an A_∞ H-space; on the base $\coprod \mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ this is the usual A_∞ (in fact, E_2) multiplication given by the natural action of the 2-dimensional little disks operad. On the fibres it is the identity map $c^{\times m} \times c^{\times n} \to c^{\times m+n}$, which is equivariant for the homomorphism $B_m \times B_n \to B_{m+n}$.

Corollary 4.5 gives us a ring isomorphism

$$H_j \mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k,k)$$

between the homology of the Hurwitz space with this product and the cohomology of the quantum shuffle algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$ for V, twisted by the sign cocycle.

7.2 Counting G-covers via the étale cohomology of Hurwitz schemes

Malle's conjecture concerns extensions of a global field K with Galois group G. When K is a rational function field $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, there is a natural bijection between extensions of K and Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$; the latter objects can be approached by means of counting $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on a certain moduli scheme. We make this more precise as follows. The following definition is adapted from [RW06, §2.1]. As is the case throughout this paper, we assume that $|G|$ is prime to the characteristic of K, so that all tameness conditions in [RW06] are automatically satisfied.

Definition 7.3. Let G be a finite group and S a scheme over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[1/|G|]$. A *branched G-cover of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over S* is a triple (X, f, τ) where

- $X \to S$ is a smooth relative curve with geometrically connected fibers over S;
- $f : X \to \mathbb{A}^1/S$ is a Galois cover; that is, f is a finite separable map, étale away from a divisor $D \subset \mathbb{A}^1/S$ which is itself finite and étale over S, and such that $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ acts transitively on every geometric fiber of f.
- $\tau : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ is an isomorphism.

We say two G-covers $(X, f, \tau), (X', f', \tau')$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $h : X \to X'$ over S such that $f' \circ h = f$ and $h \circ \tau(g) = \tau'(g) \circ h$ for all $g \in G$.

We say a cover has n branch points if the degree of the (finite) map from D to S is n. We may also want to specify the local monodromy type of a G-cover. Note first that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$, the group of units in the profinite completion of the integers, has a natural action on the elements of G by raising to powers: if σ is an element of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ and $g \in G$ is an element of order m, we define $g^\sigma = g^a$ where a is the image of σ under the projection from $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

We suppose from now on that c is a subset of G which is not only closed under conjugacy but under the action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$; equivalently, if g lies in c, so does any other generator of the cyclic group $\langle g \rangle$. We call such a c *rational*. When c is rational, we say a G-cover has local monodromy of type c if, for every geometric point s of S, the image of the tame inertia group at each point $D_s \in X_s$ is a cyclic subgroup of G generated by an element of c.

(We remark that this is not exactly the moduli space constructed in [RW06] by Romagny and Wewers, who parametrize covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ rather than $\mathbb{A}^1$; see [EVW16, §7.3] for a discussion of the passage between these two spaces.)

As mentioned above, if $L/\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is a Galois G-extension of function fields, then the unique smooth proper curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ with function field L acquires a natural map f to

$\mathbb{P}^1$; if U is the preimage $f^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1)$, then $f : U \to \mathbb{A}^1$ carries the structure of a G-cover of $\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$, and this association is a bijection between field extensions and G-covers.

It would thus be convenient if there were a moduli scheme $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ such that the set of S-points $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(S)$ were naturally in bijection with the set of G-covers over S with a n branch points and local monodromy of type c; if that were the case, we could take $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_q$ and recast Malle's conjecture as a question about counting $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$.

Unfortunately, matters are not quite so simple. The functor sending S to the category of G-covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over S is not representable by a scheme in general, but only by an algebraic stack. The problem is that G-covers may have nontrivial automorphisms. An automorphism of (X, f, τ) is an isomorphism $h : X \to X$ such that $h \circ f = f$; in other words, it is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$, and thus, by definition of G-cover, an element $g \in G$. Moreover, the requirement that h be compatible with τ means that h has to commute with every element of G; that is, h is an element of the center Z_G.

When G has trivial center, this problem is absent, and indeed it turns out there is a moduli scheme for G-covers. We explain in [EVW16, §7.4, 7.7-7.8] how, under this hypothesis, we may use bounds on cohomology arising from topology to control the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational G-covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$. In that paper, our primary application concerned the case where G was a generalized dihedral group, so not much was lost by assuming G was center-free. In the present paper, more generality is desired, so we will address this issue. While there is no moduli scheme for G-covers when G has nontrivial center, one does have the next best thing, a *coarse moduli scheme*, which we denote $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$; this is defined by Romagny and Wewers in [RW06, §4].[12]

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field, G a finite group of order prime to q, and c a rational conjugacy-invariant subset of G. The number of G-covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with n branch points and local monodromy of type c is*

$$|Z_G||\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)|.$$

Remark 7.5. The "right" proof of Proposition 7.4 is the following. There is a Deligne-Mumford moduli stack $\mathcal{X}$ for G-covers, every point of which has inertia group Z_G; for whatever field K (or for that matter whatever base $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[1/|G|]$-scheme S) the K-rational points of $\mathcal{X}$ are the G-covers over K. For any field K, the obstruction to a K-rational point of the coarse moduli space $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ lifting to a K-rational point of $\mathcal{X}$ lies

[12] Romagny and Wewers don't discuss the specification of local monodromy, but $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ is an open and closed subscheme of their coarse moduli scheme, as explained in the paragraph before Lemma 7.4 of [EVW16].

in $H^2(K, Z_G)$; when K is finite, this cohomology group is trivial, so every K-rational point of the coarse moduli space actually parametrizes a K-rational G-cover. What's more, the set of K-rational points of $\mathcal{X}$ over a K-rational point of $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ is a torsor for the cohomology group $H^1(K, Z_G)$; when K is a finite field, this cohomology group has size $|Z_G|$.

The reason for not relying on this argument in the present case is merely because the construction of the Deligne-Mumford moduli stack of G-covers is carried out in unpublished work of Wewers [Wew98] and because we don't want to give the impression that the results of the present paper depend in a critical way on theorems about stacks. It is certainly true, however, that the stack point of view is the most illuminating way to think about the technical difficulties arising from the case where G has nontrivial center.

We now return to the proof of Proposition 7.4.

Proof. We will need the following properties of the coarse moduli scheme $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$:

- If (X, f, τ) is a branched G-cover of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over an algebraically closed field k, parametrized by a point x of $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(k)$, and $\sigma : k \to k$ is a field automorphism, then the branched G-cover $(X, f, \tau)^\sigma$ is parametrized by x^σ. ([RW06, 4.11 i)])
- There is a finite étale morphism π from $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ to the configuration space $\mathcal{U}_n$ of n points on $\mathbb{A}^1$, which sends (X, f, τ) to the branch locus of f.

These facts are essentially drawn from [RW06, 4.11], where the analogous facts are proved for their moduli space $\mathcal{H}^n_G$, which parametrizes branched G-covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$, rather than branched covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$. We recall again [EVW16, §7.3], which explains that $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ is a union of locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{H}^n_G$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}_G$. In particular, the results of [RW06, 4.11] apply to $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ as well as to $\mathcal{H}^n_G$; the reader should have this in my mind when we refer to [RW06] again later in the argument.

We will now prove that the set of branched G-covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ parametrized by a point $x \in \mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has cardinality $|Z_G|$, which immediately implies Proposition 7.4.

Let Σ be the set of isomorphism classes of G-covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with n branch points and local monodromy of type c. If (X, f, τ) is such a cover, then the base change $(\bar{X}, \bar{f}, \bar{\tau})$ of (X, f, τ) from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is a G-cover of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. By [RW06, 4.11 i)], the cover $(\bar{X}, \bar{f}, \bar{\tau})$ is parametrized by a point of $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ which is fixed by the Galois action, and is thus a point of $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This provides a map from Σ to $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$; we will now show that all fibers of this map have size $|Z_G|$.

Let (X, f, τ) be an element of Σ, let $D \in \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$ be its branch locus, and let U be the complement of D in $\mathbb{A}^1$. Then the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U)$ fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U}) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q) \to 1$$

where $\bar{U}$ is the base change of U to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. This sequence affords an outer action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U})$. Write F for an element of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U)$ projecting to Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Write Σ_U for the subset of Σ consisting of covers whose branch locus is D. Any $(X, f, \tau) \in \Sigma_U$ is étale when restricted to U; indeed, Σ_U may be identified with the set of étale G-covers of U with local monodromy of type c. In other words, Σ_U is in bijection with the set of conjugacy classes of surjective homomorphisms

$$\phi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U) \to G$$

which send tame inertia generators at each geometric point of D to c, and which remain surjective after restriction to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U})$. (This last condition is imposed by the requirement that X is geometrically connected.)

On the other hand, suppose x is a point of $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The image of x under the finite morphism π from $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ to the configuration space $\mathcal{U}_n$ of n points on $\mathbb{A}^1$ (as in [RW06, 4.11 ii)]) parametrizes a configuration of n points in $\mathbb{A}^1$; since $\mathcal{U}_n$ is a fine moduli space, $\pi(x)$ corresponds to a divisor $D \in \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$ – or, what is the same, an open subscheme $U = \mathbb{A}^1 - D$. The $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ points of the corresponding fiber $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$ parametrize the set of étale G-covers of $\bar{U}/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with local monodromy of type c. The $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$ are just the points of $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$ which are fixed by the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$; that is, they are those conjugacy classes of surjective homomorphisms

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U}) \to G$$

which are preserved under the outer action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U})$.

If $\phi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U) \to G$ is an element of Σ_U, the corresponding point in $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is just the restriction $\phi|\pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U})$. Having expressed the map from Σ to $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in group-theoretic terms, we proceed to the proof of the proposition.

First, we need to know that the map $\Sigma \to \mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is surjective. Given a point x of $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, let

$$\psi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U}) \to G$$

be the corresponding surjective homomorphism. We know ψ is preserved up to conjugacy by the outer action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$; that is, there exists $\alpha \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U})$ such that

$$\psi(\gamma^F) = \psi(\alpha^{-1}\gamma\alpha)$$

for all $\gamma \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(\bar{U})$. This means we can extend ψ to a homomorphism $\phi : \pi_1^{\mathrm{et}}(U) \to G$ by defining $\phi(F) = \psi(\alpha)$; thus, there is a cover in Σ mapping to x.

In other words, we know the fiber Σ_x of Σ over x is nonempty. The fiber can be computed immediately from the inflation-restriction sequence in nonabelian group cohomology, but for the reader's convenience we will write out the argument directly.

Let $\phi, \phi' : \pi_1^{\text{et}}(U) \to G$ be two elements of Σ_x. Then ϕ and ϕ' become conjugate upon restriction to $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{U})$. Because ϕ and ϕ' are only defined up to conjugacy, we may assume ϕ and ϕ' actually agree after restriction to $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{U})$. Now the map

$$\zeta : \gamma \mapsto \phi'(\gamma)\phi(\gamma^{-1})$$

is a cocycle in $H^1(\pi_1^{\text{et}}(U), G)$, where the action of γ on G is conjugation by $\phi(\gamma^{-1})$.

We note that $\zeta(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{U})$. By the cocycle relation, we have on the one hand

$$\zeta(n\gamma) = \zeta(\gamma)^{\phi(n)}$$

and on the other hand

$$\zeta(n\gamma) = \zeta(\gamma n^{\gamma}) = \zeta(\gamma).$$

It follows that $\zeta(\gamma)$ is invariant under conjugation by $\phi(n)$ for all $n \in \pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{U})$. But ϕ is surjective on restriction to $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{U})$, so $\zeta(\gamma)$ must actually lie in the center Z_G. This implies, in turn, that ζ is actually a homomorphism from $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(U)$ to Z_G which factors through the quotient $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$.

To sum up: every element of ϕ' of Σ_x can be written as $\phi\zeta$ where ζ is a homomorphism from $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(U)$ to Z_G factoring through $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$. Conversely, any such $\phi\zeta$ is an element of Σ_x.

Suppose $\phi\zeta$ and $\phi\zeta'$ agree in Σ_x, which is to say they are conjugate by some element $g \in G$. Both $\phi\zeta$ and $\phi\zeta'$ restrict to the same surjective homomorphism $\psi : \pi_1^{\text{et}}(\bar{U}) \to G$; for g to conjugate ψ to itself, g must lie in Z_G, and this implies that $\zeta = \zeta'$.

We have now shown that Σ_x is in bijection with $\text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q), Z_G)$, an abelian group isomorphic to Z_G. This proves the claimed result. □

Proposition 7.6. *The ranks of $H^{2n-j}_{c,\text{et}}(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $H_j(\text{CHur}^c_{G,n}/G, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are equal.*

Proof. The main point is that the manifold $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is homeomorphic to $\text{CHur}^c_{G,n}/G$. This is essentially the same as Lemma 7.4 of [EVW16], where this statement is proved in the case that G is centerfree. In turn, that lemma is essentially an explication of [RW06, 4.11 (iii)]. It turns out that the triviality of Z_G is not really used in Lemma 7.4, whose proof we recapitulate below.

The complex manifold $\text{CHur}^c_{G,n}/G$ is a finite cover of the configuration space $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$; any such cover corresponds to a finite set with an action of the n-strand braid group. The finite set can be identified with the set $\text{Ni}(G, n, c) = c^{\times n}/G^{ad}$ of (Nielsen) equivalence classes of n-tuples $(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ where each g_i lies in c and the equivalence relation is simultaneous conjugacy by G on all entries. We note that Z_G acts as the identity on $\text{CHur}^c_{G,n}$; our quotient here is the usual quotient of topological spaces and not a homotopy quotient, so the quotient by G is just the same as the quotient by G/Z_G.

On the other hand, the complex manifold $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a finite algebraic cover of the complex configuration space $U_n(\mathbb{C})$. Such a cover is also described by a finite set with a braid group action; in this case, the finite set is the set of isomorphism classes of G-covers of $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a fixed degree-n branch divisor D and local monodromy of type c, by [RW06, 4.11]. Such an isomorphism class is equivalent to a conjugacy class of surjective homomorphisms from $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(\mathbb{A}^1 - D)$ to G sending the puncture classes to c, and the set of surjective homomorphisms is again $\mathrm{Ni}(G, n, c)$ with the same braid group action. This proves the existence of the desired homeomorphism.

The rest of the argument proceeds exactly as in [EVW16]. Proposition 7.7 of [EVW16] shows that $H^j_{\text{et}}(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is isomorphic to $H^j_{\text{et}}(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; all that's needed is that $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}$ is an étale cover of configuration space, which is true without the assumption that G is centerfree ([RW06, 4.11]). Comparison of étale and analytic cohomology for the complex variety $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\mathbb{C}$ and Poincaré duality complete the proof. □

Theorem 7.7. *There exists a constant $Q = Q(G, c)$ such that if $q > Q$, there exists C such that*

$$|\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq C n^d q^n$$

where d is the degree of $r(n) = \dim R_n$ considered as a polynomial in n. If, further, Hypothesis 5.9 is true for $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$, then Q may be taken to be 1.

Proof. Proposition 7.6 gives an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ vector spaces

$$H^{2n-j}_{c,\text{et}}(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong H_j(\mathrm{CHur}^c_{G,n}/G, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Notice that $\mathrm{CHur}^c_{G,n}/G$ is a finite quotient of a union of components of $\mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n}$. Thus the rank of the homology of the former is bounded by that of the latter. This in turn is

$$\mathrm{rk}_k H_j \mathrm{Hur}^c_{G,n} = \mathrm{rk}_k \mathrm{Ext}^{n-j,n}_{\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)}(k, k) \leq r(n) g_j.$$

We then obtain a bound on the number of points in $\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ using the Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed point theorem and Deligne's bounds on the eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\#\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{n^d q^n} &= n^{-d} q^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j \,\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}\,|H^{2n-j}_{c,\text{et}}(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2n} n^{-d} q^{-j/2} \,\mathrm{rk}\, H^{2n-j}_{c,\text{et}}(\mathsf{Hn}^c_{G,n}/\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2n} n^{-d} q^{-j/2} r(n) g_j
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.20 (proving Hypothesis 5.10 for $\mathfrak{A}(V_\epsilon)$) furnishes a constant K such that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a J so that if $j > J$, then $g_j < e^{(K+\epsilon)j}$. So let $Q = e^{2K}$ and take ϵ small enough that $Qe^{2\epsilon} < Q + 1$. Then if $q > Q$ (and hence $q > Qe^{2\epsilon}$),

$$g_j q^{-j/2} < (e^{K+\epsilon})^j q^{-j/2} = (Qe^{2\epsilon})^{j/2} q^{-j/2} = \alpha^j$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{Qe^{2\epsilon}}{q}} < 1$. So the sum above is bounded by

$$n^{-d} r(n) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{J} q^{-j/2} g_j + \sum_{j=J}^{2n} \alpha^j \right) \leq n^{-d} r(n) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{J} q^{-j/2} g_j + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \right)$$

Note that this is a constant multiple of $n^{-d} r(n)$, which is bounded above as $n \to \infty$ since $r(n)$ grows as a polynomial of degree d.

In the case that Hypothesis 5.9 holds, then the constant K in the proof may be taken to be 0, so $Q = 1$.

□

Notice that the d in the above result is, at largest, $\#c - 1$ by Proposition 5.3. In some cases it can be shown that $r(n)$ has much slower growth; e.g., when (G, c) is nonsplitting (as in [EVW16], the case relevant to the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics), $r(n)$ is eventually constant in n, so we may take $d = 0$.

We now show how to derive the upper bound in Malle's conjecture from Theorem 7.7. We denote by $a(G, c)$

Theorem 7.8. *Let G be a subgroup of S_n and let $N_G^c(\mathbb{F}_q(t); X)$ be the number of G-extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with discriminant at most $X = q^r$. Suppose further that $q > Q(G, c)$. Then*

$$N_G^c(\mathbb{F}_q(t); X) = O(X^{a(G,c)} (\log X)^{d+1})$$

Proof. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_m$ be the conjugacy classes comprising c. Then the discriminant of a G-cover with m_i branch points of type c_i is $\sum_i m_i \operatorname{ind}(c_i)$. Recall that $a(G, c) = (\min_i \operatorname{ind}(c_i))^{-1}$. Then a G-cover with local monodromy of type c and discriminant q^r has at most $ra(G, c)$ branch points. We conclude that, for all $q > Q(G, c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_G^c(\mathbb{F}_q(t); X) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{ra(G,c)} |\mathsf{Hn}_{G,n}^c(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{ra(G,c)} C n^d q^n \\ &\leq C(ra(G, c))^{d+1} q^{ra(G,c)} = C' X^{a(G,c)} (\log X)^{d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

[AS00] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, *Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices*, Adv. Math. **154** (2000), no. 1, 1–45. MR 1780094

[AS02] ———, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, New directions in Hopf algebras, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 43, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002, pp. 1–68. MR 1913436

[AS10] ———, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 375–417. MR 2630042

[Baz06] Yuri Bazlov, *Nichols-Woronowicz algebra model for Schubert calculus on Coxeter groups*, J. Algebra **297** (2006), no. 2, 372–399. MR 2209265

[Bha05] Manjul Bhargava, *The density of discriminants of quartic rings and fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 2, 1031–1063. MR 2183288

[Bha10] ———, *The density of discriminants of quintic rings and fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 3, 1559–1591. MR 2745272

[BSW15] Manjul Bhargava, Arul Shankar, and Xiaoheng Wang, *Geometry-of-numbers methods over global fields I: Prehomogeneous vector spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03035 (2015).

[BSW16] ———, *Squarefree values of polynomial discriminants I*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.09806 (2016).

[Cal06] Filippo Callegaro, *The homology of the Milnor fiber for classical braid groups*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 1903–1923 (electronic). MR 2263054

[CDyDO06] Henri Cohen, Francisco Diaz y Diaz, and Michel Olivier, *Counting discriminants of number fields*, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux **18** (2006), no. 3, 573–593.

[CLM76] Frederick R. Cohen, Thomas J. Lada, and J. Peter May, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 533, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. MR 0436146

[DH71] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, *On the density of discriminants of cubic fields. II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **322** (1971), no. 1551, 405–420. MR 0491593

[DKKT97] Gérard Duchamp, Alexander Klyachko, Daniel Krob, and Jean-Yves Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions. III. Deformations of Cauchy and convolution algebras*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **1** (1997), no. 1, 159–216, Lie computations (Marseille, 1994). MR 1605038

[DW88] Boris Datskovsky and David J Wright, *Density of discriminants of cubic extensions*, J. reine angew. Math **386** (1988), 116–138.

[EG03] P. Etingof and M. Graña, *On rack cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **177** (2003), no. 1, 49–59. MR 1948837

[EO04] Pavel Etingof and Viktor Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 3, 627–654, 782–783. MR 2119143

[EV05] Jordan S. Ellenberg and Akshay Venkatesh, *Counting extensions of function fields with bounded discriminant and specified Galois group*, Geometric methods in algebra and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 235, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 151–168. MR 2159381

[EV06] Jordan S Ellenberg and Akshay Venkatesh, *The number of extensions of a number field with fixed degree and bounded discriminant*, Annals of mathematics (2006), 723–741.

[EVW16] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Akshay Venkatesh, and Craig Westerland, *Homological stability for Hurwitz spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture over function fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **183** (2016), no. 3, 729–786.

[FHT01] Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR 1802847

[FK99] Sergey Fomin and Anatol N. Kirillov, *Quadratic algebras, Dunkl elements, and Schubert calculus*, Advances in geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 172, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999, pp. 147–182. MR 1667680

[FN62] R. Fox and L. Neuwirth, *The braid groups*, Math. Scand. **10** (1962), 119–126. MR 0150755

[Fuk70] D. B. Fuks, *Cohomology of the braid group* mod 2, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **4** (1970), no. 2, 62–73. MR 0274463

[FV91] Michael D. Fried and Helmut Völklein, *The inverse Galois problem and rational points on moduli spaces*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), no. 4, 771–800. MR 1119950

[GS12] Chad Giusti and Dev Sinha, *Fox-Neuwirth cell structures and the cohomology of symmetric groups*, Configuration spaces, CRM Series, vol. 14, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2012, pp. 273–298. MR 3203643

[KKM83] A. A. Kirillov, M. L. Kontsevich, and A. I. Molev, *Algebras of intermediate growth*, Akad. Nauk SSSR Inst. Prikl. Mat. Preprint (1983), no. 39, 19, Translated in Selecta Math. Soviet. **9** (1990), no. 2, 137–153. MR 753873

[Klü05] Jürgen Klüners, *A counterexample to Malle's conjecture on the asymptotics of discriminants*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340** (2005), no. 6, 411–414. MR 2135320

[KSa] Mikhail Kapranov and Vadim Schechtman, *Coulomb gas and Hall algebras*, in preparation.

[KSb] ———, *Perverse sheaves on symmetric products*, in preparation.

[KSV14] M. Kapranov, O. Schiffmann, and E. Vasserot, *Spherical Hall algebra of* $\overline{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})}$, Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry, Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital., vol. 15, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 153–196. MR 3330787

[Leb13] Victoria Lebed, *Homologies of algebraic structures via braidings and quantum shuffles*, J. Algebra **391** (2013), 152–192. MR 3081627

[LPWZ08] Di Ming Lu, John H. Palmieri, Quan Shui Wu, and James J. Zhang, *Koszul equivalences in A_∞-algebras*, New York J. Math. **14** (2008), 325–378. MR 2430869

[Mal02] Gunter Malle, *On the distribution of Galois groups*, Journal of Number Theory **92** (2002), no. 2, 315–329.

[Mal04] ———, *On the distribution of Galois groups. II*, Experiment. Math. **13** (2004), no. 2, 129–135. MR 2068887

[Mar96] N. S. Markaryan, *Homology of braid groups with nontrivial coefficients*, Mat. Zametki **59** (1996), no. 6, 846–854, 960. MR 1445470

[May66] J. P. May, *The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and of Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **3** (1966), 123–146. MR 0193126

[Mil58] John Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 150–171. MR 0099653

[MM65] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 211–264. MR 0174052

[MPSW10] M. Mastnak, J. Pevtsova, P. Schauenburg, and S. Witherspoon, *Cohomology of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **100** (2010), no. 2, 377–404. MR 2595743

[MS00] Alexander Milinski and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, *Pointed indecomposable Hopf algebras over Coxeter groups*, New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), Contemp. Math., vol. 267, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 215–236. MR 1800714

[Nic78] Warren D. Nichols, *Bialgebras of type one*, Comm. Algebra **6** (1978), no. 15, 1521–1552. MR 0506406

[Pri70] Stewart B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60. MR 0265437

[Rad79] David E. Radford, *A natural ring basis for the shuffle algebra and an application to group schemes*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), no. 2, 432–454. MR 540649

[Ros98] Marc Rosso, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), no. 2, 399–416. MR 1632802

[RW06] Matthieu Romagny and Stefan Wewers, *Hurwitz spaces*, Groupes de Galois arithmétiques et différentiels, Sémin. Congr., vol. 13, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2006, pp. 313–341. MR 2316356

[Sch96] Peter Schauenburg, *A characterization of the Borel-like subalgebras of quantum enveloping algebras*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), no. 9, 2811–2823. MR 1396857

[Sta12] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. MR 2868112

[ŞV16] Dragoş Ştefan and Cristian Vay, *The cohomology ring of the 12-dimensional Fomin-Kirillov algebra*, Adv. Math. **291** (2016), 584–620. MR 3459024

[Tür15] Seyfi Türkelli, *Connected components of Hurwitz schemes and Malle's conjecture*, J. Number Theory **155** (2015), 163–201. MR 3349443

[Vaĭ78] F. V. Vaĭnšteĭn, *The cohomology of braid groups*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **12** (1978), no. 2, 72–73. MR 498903

[Vas92] V. A. Vassiliev, *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 98, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992, Translated from the Russian by B. Goldfarb. MR 1168473

[Wew98] Stefan Wewers, *Construction of Hurwitz spaces*, Thesis, U. Duisburg- Essen, 1998.

[Woo16] Melanie Matchett Wood, *Asymptotics for number fields and class groups*, pp. 291–339, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.

[Wor89] S. L. Woronowicz, *Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups)*, Comm. Math. Phys. **122** (1989), no. 1, 125–170. MR 994499

[Wri89] David J. Wright, *Distribution of discriminants of abelian extensions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **58** (1989), no. 1, 17–50. MR 969545